



## REVIEW

# Molecular landscape in acute myeloid leukemia: where do we stand in 2016

Karam Al-Issa, Aziz Nazha

Leukemia Program, Department of Hematology and Oncology, Cleveland Clinic, Taussig Cancer Institute, Cleveland 44195, OH, USA

### ABSTRACT

Acute myeloid leukemia (AML) is a clonal disorder characterized by the accumulation of complex genomic alterations that define the disease pathophysiology and overall outcome. Recent advances in sequencing technologies have described the molecular landscape of AML and identified several somatic alterations that impact overall survival. Despite all these advancement, several challenges remain in translating this information into effective therapy. Herein we will review the molecular landscape of AML and discuss the impact of the most common somatic mutations on disease biology and outcome.

### KEYWORDS

Acute myeloid leukemia; molecular landscape; somatic mutations

## Introduction

Acute myeloid leukemia (AML) is a heterogeneous disorder characterized by the accumulation of complex genomic alterations that contribute to disease biology and prognosis<sup>1</sup>. Traditionally, certain cytogenetic abnormalities such as PML-RAR, t(8; 21), and inversion 16 have been described as a disease defining lesions; however, approximately 50% of AML patients have normal karyotype and their outcome is heterogeneous<sup>2</sup>. Further, some genomic abnormalities that have been described in AML such as -7/del 7q and -5/del5q have also been described in other myeloid malignancies such as myelodysplastic syndromes (MDS) and myeloproliferative neoplasms (MPN) and MDS/MPN.

After the completion of the human genome project, several recurrent somatic mutations have been identified as important features in defining the molecular landscape of AML<sup>1</sup>. Some of these mutations such as FLT-3 have an impact on disease pathophysiology, prognosis, and treatment strategy. Identifying these mutations also opened the door for the development of novel targeted therapies that specifically target these lesions. Despite all the advances in sequencing techniques and bioinformatics analyses, several challenges

remain in translating this knowledge into clinical practice. Targeting mutations such as *FLT3* remained an area with active investigations and variable success while targeting other common mutations such as *NPM1*, *DNMT3A*, and *TET2* remains challenging.

In this review, we will discuss the cytogenetic and genomic landscape of AML with main focus on the common molecular abnormalities and their impact on disease biology and prognosis.

## Cytogenetic characterization of AML

Genetic abnormalities that are derived from balanced translocation or inversions have been described as an important step in AML pathogenesis in a subset of patients<sup>2</sup>. These balanced chromosomal rearrangements can result in the production of fusion genes that encodes hematopoietic transcription factors such as RARA, RUNX1, and CBF $\beta$  subunits of the core binding factor (CBF) complex<sup>3</sup>. The World Health Organization (WHO) classifications recognized these balanced chromosomal abnormalities as separate entities that are sufficient to diagnose AML without evidence of bone marrow blasts percentage  $\geq 20\%$ <sup>4</sup>.

These abnormalities include: AML with t(8;21)(q22;q22); *RUNX1-RUNX1T1*, AML with inv (16)(p13.1q22) or t(16;16)(p13.1;q22); *CBFB-MYH11*, AML with t(15;17)(q22;q12); *PML-RARA*, AML with t(9;11)(p22;q23); *MLLT3-MLL*, AML with t(6;9)(p23;q34); *DEK-NUP214*, AML with inv(3)(q21q26.2) or t(3;3)(q21;q26.2); *RPNI-*

Correspondence to: Aziz Nazha

E-mail: nazhaa@ccf.org

Received July 16, 2016; accepted November 8, 2016.

Available at [www.cancerbiomed.org](http://www.cancerbiomed.org)

Copyright © 2016 by Cancer Biology & Medicine

*EVI1*, and AML (megakaryoblastic) with t(1;22)(p13;q13); *RBM15-MKL1*<sup>4</sup>. A recent revision of WHO classification in 2016 has recognized new provisional category of AML with BCR-ABL1<sup>5</sup>. Prior studies have shown that Philadelphia chromosome positive AML is a distinct entity that is different from chronic myeloid leukemia in blast crisis (CML-BC). Patients with BCR-ABL1 AML are less likely to have splenomegaly or peripheral basophiia and usually have lower bone marrow cellularity and myeloid/erythroid ratios compared to CML-BC<sup>6,7</sup>. However, the median overall survival(OS) of patients with BCR-ABL1 AML is similar to other types of AML. Interestingly some patients with these abnormalities may respond to treatment with tyrosine kinase inhibitors such as imatinib but their responses were of short duration<sup>6</sup>.

Another addition to 2016 WHO criteria is the recognition of the association between AML with inv(3)(q21.3q26.2) or t(3;3)(q21.3;q26.2) and GATA2/MECOM mutations. As previously known, AML with inv(3)/t(3;3) is associated with aberrant expression of the stem-cell regulator *EVI1*. Applying functional genomics and genome engineering on AML samples that harbored inv(3)/t(3;3) revealed that 3q rearrangements role in repositioning of a distal GATA2 enhancer to ectopically activate *EVI1* and simultaneously confer GATA2 functional haploinsufficiency. Genomic excision of the ectopic enhancer restored *EVI1* silencing and led to growth inhibition and differentiation of AML cells, suggesting that structural rearrangements involving the chromosomal repositioning of a single enhancer can lead to AML development<sup>8,9</sup>.

Although cytogenetic analysis can aid diagnosis and provide powerful prognostic tool to risk stratify patients with AML, approximately 50% of patients with de novo AML have normal karyotype<sup>2</sup>. This sub-group comprises a heterogeneous group of patients with variable outcomes<sup>2</sup>. Further, a significant variation in outcome is also found among patients with the same chromosomal abnormality, suggesting that cytogenetic analysis alone is suboptimal in risk stratifying patients with AML.

In the past decade, several genomic sequencing technologies including next-generation targeted deep sequencing (NGS), whole exome sequencing (WES), whole genome sequencing (WGS), and others have identified several genomic mutations that play an integral role in AML pathogenesis and prognosis<sup>3</sup>. These mutations have been identified in several important cellular pathways including: signaling pathways, *Fms related tyrosine kinase 3* (*FLT3*), *nucleolar phosphoprotein B23* (*NPM1*), *CCAAT/enhancer binding protein alpha* (*CEBPA*), runt-related transcription

factor 1 (*RUNX1*), and others<sup>3</sup>; DNA methylation: DNA (Cytosine-5-)-methyltransferase 3 alpha (*DNMT3A*), tet methylcytosine dioxygenase 2 (*TET2*), isocitrate dehydrogenase 1 (*IDH1*), isocitrate dehydrogenase 2 (*IDH2*), and additional sex combs like 1 (*ASXL1*); tumor suppressor genes: tumor protein P53 (*TP53*), and wilms tumor1 (*WT1*); splicing machinery: *serine/arginine-Rich splicing factor 2* (*SRSF2*), *splicing factor 3b subunit 1* (*SF3B1*), *U2 small nuclear RNA auxiliary factor 1* (*U2AF1*), and *zinc finger CCCH-type, RNA binding motif and serine/arginine rich 2* (*ZRSR2*), and cohesin: *cohesin complex component* (*RAD21*), *structural maintenance of chromosomes 1A* (*SMC1A*), *structural maintenance of chromosomes 3* (*SMC3*), *stromal antigen 1-2*(*STAG1/2*), and others<sup>1</sup> (**Table 1**). It should be noted however that some of these mutations such as *TET2*, *DNMT3A*, and *ASXL1* have also been described in elderly individuals who do not have evidence of myeloid malignancies and the presence of these mutations increases with age and is associated with worse OS and increased risk of cardiovascular events<sup>10-12</sup>.

Further, recent evidence suggests that genomic heterogeneity in AML is also associated with complex epigenetic heterogeneity that varies between diagnosis and disease progression<sup>13</sup>. Based on genomic and epigenomic sequencing data, AML can be divided into a subset with high epiallelic and low somatic mutation burden at diagnosis, a subset with high somatic mutation and lower epiallele burdens, and a subset with a mixed profile, suggesting distinct models of tumor heterogeneity and that add to the complexity of the genomic landscape of AML<sup>13</sup>.

## Mutations in signaling pathways

### FLT3

*FLT3* is a receptor tyrosine kinase that is commonly mutated in AML. Mutations in *FLT3* receptor can lead to constitutive activation that in turn can lead to decrease in apoptosis and increase in leukemia proliferation and survival<sup>14</sup>. Mutations in the juxtamembrane domain of the *FLT3* (*FLT3-ITD*) receptor have been described in 25%–30% of patients with AML and point mutation of the tyrosine kinase domain (*TKD*) as been described in 5% of patients<sup>14</sup>. Although both types of mutations affect the receptor, their impact on outcome is different. In patients with normal karyotype, *FLT3-ITD* is associated with poor outcome while the outcome of *FLT3-TKD* mutations is controversial<sup>15-18</sup>. More importantly, the variate allelic frequency (*VAF*) of the mutation also impact OS. In a study of 354 young adults with

**Table 1** Prevalence, function, and prognosis of mutations detected in AML

Gene	Function	Prevalence %	Prognosis
<i>ASXL1</i>	Chromatin modification	5–7	Poorer in NK
<i>BCOR</i>	Transcription factor	1–2	ND
<i>biCEBPA</i>	Transcription factors	5–10	Favorable especially in NK
<i>CBL</i>	Activated signaling	1–3	controversial
<i>DNMT3A</i>	DNA methylation	20–25	Adverse
<i>EZH2</i>	Chromatin regulation	1	Poor
<i>FLT3-ITD</i>	Activated signaling	25–30	Poor in NK
<i>FLT3-TKD</i>	Activated signaling	5–10	Variable according to study
<i>IDH1</i>	DNA methylation	5–7	Poorer in <i>FLT3-ITD</i> -neg AML
<i>IDH2-R140</i>	DNA methylation	7	Controversial
<i>IDH2-R172</i>	DNA methylation	2	Controversial
<i>KIT</i>	Activated signaling	4	Poorer outcome in CBF AML
<i>KRAS</i>	Activated signaling	5	Controversial
<i>MLL-PTD</i>	Chromatin modification	5	Adverse
<i>NF1</i>	Activated signaling	4	ND
<i>NPM1</i>	Transcription factor	30–35	Favorable in absence of <i>FLT3-ITD</i> and mutant <i>DNMT3A</i>
<i>NRAS</i>	Activated signaling	5–10	Neutral
<i>PHF6</i>	Transcription factor	3	ND
<i>PTPN11</i>	Activated signaling	5	ND
<i>RUNX1</i>	Transcription factor	5	Controversial
<i>SF3B1</i>	Spliceosome machinery	3	Favorable in secondary AML
<i>SRSF2</i>	Spliceosome machinery	2	Poor
<i>TET2</i>	DNA methylation	8–10	Poorer in normal karyotype
<i>TP53</i>	Tumor suppressor	5–10	Adverse
<i>U2AF1</i>	Spliceosome machinery	2	Poor
<i>WT1</i>	Tumor suppressor	5–9	Poor in NK
<i>ZRSR2</i>	Spliceosome machinery	< 1	ND
<i>Gene fusions</i>			
AML with t(8;21)(q22;q22.1); RUNX1-RUNX1T1		7	Favorable
AML with inv(16)(p13.1q22) or t(16;16)(p13.1;q22); CBFβ-MYH11		5	Favorable
AML with t(9;11)(p21.3;q23.3); MLLT3-KMT2A		1	Intermediate
AML with t(6;9)(p23;q34.1); DEK-NUP214		1	Poor
AML with inv(3)(q21.3q26.2) or t(3;3)(q21.3;q26.2); GATA2, MECOM		1	Poor
AML (megakaryoblastic) with t(1;22)(p13.3;q13.3); RBM15-MKL1		< 0.5	Poor
Provisional entity: AML with BCR-ABL1		1	Poor

bi, biallelic; ITD, internal tandem duplication; ND, not determined; NK, normal karyotype; PTD, partial tandem duplication; TKD, tyrosine kinase domain.

*FLT3-ITD* mutations, a VAF > 50% was associated with worse OS compared to VAF of 25%–50%<sup>19</sup>. Moreover, approximately, 14%–25% of *FLT3-ITD* positive patients will have two or more mutations in *FLT3* gene. In these cases the mutant to wild type ratio of the most prevalent mutation should be used to define the VAF<sup>18-20</sup>.

The prognostic impact of *FLT3-TKD* mutations remains controversial. This is in part due to the low frequency of this mutation and the small sample size of the studies that explored its prognostic impact<sup>18,19,21,22</sup>.

In AML patients with positive *FLT3-ITD* and normal karyotype, allogeneic transplant is usually recommended; however, the risk of relapse remains high. Targeting *FLT3-ITD* mutations with *FLT3* inhibitors have had limited success<sup>23</sup>. The reasons suggested for this limited success might be related to coexistence or development of *FLT3-TKD* mutations, activation of downstream signaling molecules, up-regulation of *FLT3*, or activation of other pathways<sup>23</sup>. Nevertheless, in a recent phase 3 multicenter, international, clinical trial for newly diagnosed AML with mutated *FLT3-ITD*, the addition of midostaurin (a *FLT3* inhibitor) to standard induction and consolidation chemotherapy improved OS by 23% compared to those who received standard therapy alone. Several selective *FLT3* inhibitors are currently in development with variable clinical effects.

## NPM1

*NPM1* function as a protein that transfer between the nucleus and cytoplasm and play an important role in ribosome biogenesis, centrosome duplication during mitosis, and cell proliferation and apoptosis<sup>24</sup>. *NPM1* mutations usually occur in exon 12 in the C-terminus of the protein and can lead to cytoplasmic localization of *NPM1* protein<sup>24</sup>. *NPM1* mutations are the most common mutations in AML accounting for 30%–35% of all AML cases and 50%–60% of AML present with a normal karyotype<sup>15</sup>. *NPM1* mutations are frequently mutated with *FLT3*, *DNMT3A*, and *IDH1-2* mutations, but rarely mutated with other mutations such as *BCOR*, and *CEBPA*<sup>21,25,26</sup>. Studies have shown that *NPM1* mutations usually carry a favorable prognosis in the absence of *FLT3-ITD* and mainly in the presence of *IDH1-2*<sup>17,21</sup>. However, the favorable outcome of *NPM1* mutations can be decreased with the presence of *FLT3-ITD*<sup>19,27</sup>. Further, limited data suggests that the favorable prognosis of *NPM1* mutations is not affected by the presence of an adverse karyotype although the incidence of *NPM1* mutations in this setting is low<sup>16,20</sup>.

## CEBPA

*CEBPA* is a transcription factor involved in neutrophil differentiation process. Mutations in *CEBPA* usually occur in the amino- and carboxy-terminus and can lead to either absence of *CABPA* expression or shortened protein with negative effect on cell differentiation and apoptosis<sup>23,24,28</sup>. *CEBPA* is mutated in approximately 10% of AML patients and is more common in patients with normal karyotype or 9q deletions<sup>16</sup>. Two thirds of *CEBPA* mutations in AML are biallelic and usually are associated with favorable outcome compared to monoallelic mutations<sup>29-31</sup>. In a recent meta-analysis of the impact of *CEBPA* mutations on OS of AML patients, biallelic mutations were associated with longer OS (9.6 years) compared to monoallelic (1.7 years)<sup>29,30</sup>. More importantly, one of the allele in biallelic cases can be inherited as germ line mutations that predispose to the acquisition of another somatic mutation in *CEBPA* or other genes<sup>32</sup>.

## KIT

*KIT* is a receptor tyrosine kinase that plays an important role in proliferation, differentiation, and cell survival. *KIT* mutations are loss of function mutations that mainly affect exons 8/17 and occur in 2%–14% with higher prevalence among patients with core-binding factor leukemias<sup>25,33-38</sup>. Although the prognostic impact of *KIT* mutations is controversial, compelling evidence suggests that these mutations carry a negative impact on OS in patients with core binding factor leukemias and common practice is to refer these patients to an allogeneic stem cell transplant in first remission<sup>25,39-44</sup>.

## Other gene mutations in AML

### ASXL1

*ASXL1* gene encodes a chromatin binding protein, which in turn enhance or repress gene transcription in localized areas by chromatin structure modification<sup>45,46</sup>.

The overall frequency of *ASXL1* mutations in AML is approximately 3%–5%<sup>25,33,34</sup> but its incidence is higher in patients with intermediate risk AML (including AML with a normal karyotype 11%–17%) and patients with MDS and secondary AML (15%–25%)<sup>35,47</sup>. However, *ASXL1* mutations are rare in children (close to 1%) and their incidence increases with age especially patients of 60 years or older<sup>47-49</sup>. As a single mutation, *ASXL1* is associated with worse OS but

this impact may be lost when controlling for prior history of MDS or cytogenetic abnormalities<sup>26,33,50</sup>. More importantly, *ASXL1* mutations can be acquired or lost at the time of relapse suggesting that these mutations can be secondary rather than founder mutations in primary AML<sup>51</sup>.

## DNMT3A

DNMT3A is a DNA methyltransferase that regulates epigenetic alterations through DNA methylation. *DNMT3A* mutations are common in myeloid malignancies especially in AML and the most common mutation is a substitution of the amino acid arginine at position 882 (R882)<sup>52</sup>. *DNMT3A* mutations are frequently found with *FLT3-ITD*, *NPM1*, and *IDH1-2* mutations though rarely associated with t(15;17) and core binding factor leukemias<sup>52</sup>. Most of these studies have shown that *DNMT3A* mutations have a negative impact on OS but this impact can be improved with higher doses of anthracycline chemotherapy<sup>25,33</sup>.

## IDH1/IDH2

IDH1 and IDH2 are two enzymes that play an important role in DNA methylation and histone modification<sup>53</sup>. *IDH1* and *IDH2* mutations can affect the active isocitrate binding site and lead to increased level of 2-hydroxyglutarate<sup>54</sup>. *IDH1* mutations occur in 6%–9% of adult AML cases and only 1% of pediatric AML with all mutations affect the arginine residue at either position 132 or 170 (R132 or R170)<sup>33-35,48,55,56</sup>. These mutations are exclusive of each other and exclusive of the IDH2 mutation. When evaluated as a separate group, mutations in IDH1 appear to have an unfavorable prognosis<sup>56</sup>. *IDH2* mutations occur in 8%–12% of adult AML and only 1%–2% of pediatric cases and mainly affect the arginine residue at either positions 140 or 172 (R140 or R172)<sup>33-35,48,55-57</sup>. Interestingly, in some studies only the R140 mutation appears to have prognostic impact on survival<sup>33,58</sup>. Recently IDH2 and IDH1 small molecule inhibitors have entered clinical trials in patients with AML who harbor these mutations. IDH2 inhibitor AG-221 and IDH1 inhibitor AG-120 have demonstrated a very promising efficacy in early trials in AML. An interim analysis of phase 1/2 study of AG-221 in relapsed refractory AML have shown an overall response rate of 41% with 18% of the patients achieving complete remission. Similar response rate was also shown in early studies of AG-120 in relapsed AML<sup>59</sup>. Based on these promising results, the FDA has granted a Fast Track designation for these agents and advanced clinical trials with these agents are currently underway.

## RUNX1

*RUNX1* gene encodes the alpha subunit of core binding factor. *RUNX1* occurs in 5%–18% of patients with AML and more common in intermediate-risk and poor risk AML without a complex karyotype<sup>26,33,35,60</sup>. Familial platelet disorder is a condition that predisposes to AML and less commonly T-lymphoblastic leukemia (T-ALL) is associated with Germline *RUNX1* mutations<sup>61</sup>. The impact of *RUNX1* mutations on OS is controversial with some studies showing a negative impact while others showing either a favorable or no impact<sup>26,33,60</sup>. Further, a recent study suggested that allogeneic stem cell transplant can overcome the negative impact of *RUNX1* mutations in patients with AML<sup>60</sup>.

## TET2

*TET2* protein is an epigenetic modifier that convert methylcytosine to 5-hydroxymethylcytosine. *TET2* mutations are found in 7%–10% of adult AML cases and 1.5%–4% of pediatric AML cases<sup>48,62,63</sup>. In AML patients the frequency of *TET2* mutations correlates with increased age<sup>35</sup>. Interestingly, *TET2* mutations were found in elderly individuals without evidence of hematologic malignancies<sup>64</sup>. The prognosis of *TET2* mutations is controversial with some studies and showed a worse OS in AML with a normal karyotype while others did not<sup>25,33,65,66</sup>.

## TP53

*TP53* is a tumor suppressor gene that plays an important role in the regulation of the cell cycle in response to cellular stress. *TP53* mutations are found in approximately 20%–25% of patients with secondary AML but only in 2%–9% of patients with primary AML and 1% of pediatric AML<sup>25,26,33,48</sup>. *TP53* mutations are frequently found with a complex karyotype but rarely occur with *CEBPA*, *NPM1*, *FLT3-ITD*, and *RUNX1* mutations<sup>26</sup>. Overall, *TP53* mutations carry a very poor outcome independent from other prognostic factors such as complex karyotype<sup>25,26</sup>.

## WT1

*WT1* is a tumor suppressor gene that play an oncogenic role in leukemia<sup>67</sup>. Approximately 1%–5% of patients with AML have *WT1* mutations<sup>68,69</sup>. Several studies have shown that AML patients with normal karyotype and *WT1* overexpression have a higher chance of relapse and poor

OS<sup>68,69</sup>. Further, some studies have suggested that *WT1* mutations can be also used as a minimal residual disease marker at complete response and relapse<sup>70,71</sup>.

## NRAS and KRAS

KRAS and NRAS are genes in the RAS GTPase pathway. *NRAS* mutations are present in 8%–13% of AML cases while *KRAS* can be found in 2% of adult AML and 9% of pediatric cases<sup>33-35,48,72</sup>. Although some small studies have suggested that the presence of *NRAS* mutations is associated with worse outcome, studies with larger number have shown no impact on OS<sup>73,74</sup>. Similarly, the impact of *KRAS* mutations on OS is neutral<sup>75</sup>.

## EZH2

EZH2 is a catalytic component of polycomb repressive complex 2 that plays an important role in stem cell developments. Gain of function mutations in *EZH2* gene has been reported in lymphoma while inactivating mutations have been described in leukemia including AML<sup>76</sup>.

*EZH2* mutations have been reported in 2% of patients with AML and 3%-13% of patients with myeloproliferative neoplasms. The impact of *EZH2* mutations on OS in AML has not been documented.

## Mutations in cohesin complex members

Recent studies of WGS and WES have identified recurrent somatic mutations in genes encoding cohesin complex members including *SMC1A*, *SMC3*, *RAD21*, and *STAG1/2*. These genes play important roles in DNA repair and looping<sup>77-79</sup>.

Mutations in cohesin complex are found in approximately 6% of patients with primary AML and 20% of patients with secondary AML and usually are associated with mutations in *RUNX1*, *BCOR*, and *ASXL1* and are mutually exclusive with *NPM1* mutations<sup>78,79</sup>. The impact of these mutations on OS in AML has been neutral<sup>78,79</sup>.

## Mutations in splicing machinery

The most common splicing factor gene abnormalities involved in AML are *SF3B1*, *U2AF1*, *SRSF2*, and *ZRSR2*. These mutations are mutually exclusive and can be defined as founder mutations or associated with certain phenotype in a

subset of patients such as *SF3B1* mutations in MDS patients with ring sideroblasts and *SRSF2* in chronic myelomonocytic leukemia (CMML)<sup>80-82</sup>. Spliceosome mutations are more common in patients with MDS and secondary AML and can be defined as founder lesions whereas their incidence in newly diagnosed primary AML patients is lower and their impact on disease pathophysiology in this setting is less understood<sup>83</sup>. Functionally, these mutations interfere with pre-mRNA splicing of genes that are functionally important in MDS and AML such as *BCOR* and *MLL2*, and *EZH2* which in turn affect hematopoiesis<sup>84,85</sup>. Several targeted therapies for spliceosome machinery mutations are currently in preclinical development and the results of these agents have been promising.

## Conclusions

Several advances have been made in our understanding of cancer biology since the completion of the human genome project in 2003. These advances have highlighted the genomic landscape of several cancers including AML. Recent studies have suggested an important role of genomic information in AML diagnosis, prognosis and development of targeted therapies. Despite all these advances, our ability to translate this knowledge into clinically relevant information lagged behind. Today conventional cytogenetic analysis remains the base of risk stratification of AML and the addition of few mutations such as *FLT3*, *NPM1*, and *CEBPA* have shown to impact the overall outcome in patients with normal karyotype. This approach does not take into account the complexity of genomic information and the interplay between genomic and clinical data. Further, targeting commonly mutated genes like *FLT3* and *IDH1/2* has improved the outcome of AML patients who carry these mutations but did not translate into higher curative rates. Novel methods to take advantage of the genomic information is needed to advance precision medicine in AML.

## Conflict of interest statement

No potential conflicts of interest are disclosed.

## References

1. Cancer Genome Atlas Research Network. Genomic and epigenomic landscapes of adult de novo acute myeloid leukemia. *N Engl J Med*. 2013; 368: 2059–74.
2. Grimwade D, Mrózek K. Diagnostic and prognostic value of cytogenetics in acute myeloid leukemia. *Hematol Oncol Clin North*



- Am. 2011; 25: 1135–61.
3. Grimwade D, Ivey A, Huntly BJ. Molecular landscape of acute myeloid leukemia in younger adults and its clinical relevance. *Blood*. 2016; 127: 29–41.
  4. Vardiman JW, Thiele J, Arber DA, Brunning RD, Borowitz MJ, Porwit A, et al. The 2008 revision of the World Health Organization (WHO) classification of myeloid neoplasms and acute leukemia: rationale and important changes. *Blood*. 2009; 114: 937–51.
  5. Arber DA, Orazi A, Hasserjian R, Thiele J, Borowitz MJ, Le Beau MM, et al. The 2016 revision to the World Health Organization classification of myeloid neoplasms and acute leukemia. *Blood*. 2016; 127: 2391–405.
  6. Soupir CP, Vergilio JA, Dal Cin P, Muzikansky A, Kantarjian H, Jones D, et al. Philadelphia chromosome-positive acute myeloid leukemia: A rare aggressive leukemia with clinicopathologic features distinct from chronic myeloid leukemia in myeloid blast crisis. *Am J Clin Pathol*. 2007; 127: 642–50.
  7. Konoplev S, Yin CC, Kornblau SM, Kantarjian HM, Konopleva M, Andreeff M, et al. Molecular characterization of *de novo* Philadelphia chromosome-positive acute myeloid leukemia. *Leuk Lymphoma*. 2013; 54: 138–44.
  8. Gröschel S, Sanders MA, Hoogenboezem R, de Wit E, Bouwman BAM, Erpelinck C, et al. A single oncogenic enhancer rearrangement causes concomitant *EVII* and *GATA2* deregulation in leukemia. *Cell*. 2014; 157: 369–81.
  9. Yamazaki H, Suzuki M, Otsuki A, Shimizu R, Bresnick EH, Engel JD, et al. A remote *GATA2* hematopoietic enhancer drives leukemogenesis in *inv(3)(q21; q26)* by activating *EVII* expression. *Cancer Cell*. 2014; 25: 415–27.
  10. Jaiswal S, Fontanillas P, Flannick J, Manning A, Grauman PV, Mar BG, et al. Age-related clonal hematopoiesis associated with adverse outcomes. *N Engl J Med*. 2014; 371: 2488–98.
  11. Genovesi G, Kähler AK, Handsaker RE, Lindberg J, Rose SA, Bakhoun SF, et al. Clonal hematopoiesis and blood-cancer risk inferred from blood DNA sequence. *N Engl J Med*. 2014; 371: 2477–87.
  12. Xie M, Lu C, Wang J, McLellan MD, Johnson KJ, Wendl MC, et al. Age-related mutations associated with clonal hematopoietic expansion and malignancies. *Nat Med*. 2014; 20: 1472–8.
  13. Li S, Garrett-Bakelman FE, Chung SS, Sanders MA, Hricik T, Rapaport F, et al. Distinct evolution and dynamics of epigenetic and genetic heterogeneity in acute myeloid leukemia. *Nat. Med*. 2016; 22: 792–9.
  14. Levis M. FLT3 mutations in acute myeloid leukemia: what is the best approach in 2013? *Hematology Am Soc Hematol Educ Program*. 2013; 2013: 220–6.
  15. Ofran Y, Rowe JM. Genetic profiling in acute myeloid leukaemia—where are we and what is its role in patient management. *Br J Haematol*. 2013; 160: 303–20.
  16. Martelli MP, Sportoletti P, Tiacci E, Martelli MF, Falini B. Mutational landscape of AML with normal cytogenetics: biological and clinical implications. *Blood Rev*. 2013; 27: 13–22.
  17. Whitman SP, Archer KJ, Feng L, Baldus C, Becknell B, Carlson BD, et al. Absence of the wild-type allele predicts poor prognosis in adult *de novo* acute myeloid leukemia with normal cytogenetics and the internal tandem duplication of *FLT3*: A cancer and leukemia group B study. *Cancer Res*. 2001; 61: 7233–9.
  18. Schnittger S, Bacher U, Haferlach C, Alpermann T, Kern W, Haferlach T. Diversity of the juxtamembrane and TKD1 mutations (Exons 13–15) in the *FLT3* gene with regards to mutant load, sequence, length, localization, and correlation with biological data. *Genes Chromosomes Cancer*. 2012; 51: 910–24.
  19. Gale RE, Green C, Allen C, Mead AJ, Burnett AK, Hills RK, et al. The impact of *FLT3* internal tandem duplication mutant level, number, size, and interaction with *NPM1* mutations in a large cohort of young adult patients with acute myeloid leukemia. *Blood*. 2008; 111: 2776–84.
  20. Kayser S, Schlenk RF, Londono MC, Breitenbuecher F, Wittke K, Du J, et al. Insertion of *FLT3* internal tandem duplication in the tyrosine kinase domain-1 is associated with resistance to chemotherapy and inferior outcome. *Blood*. 2009; 114: 2386–92.
  21. Schlenk RF, Kayser S, Bullinger L, Kobbe G, Casper J, Ringhoffer M, et al. Differential impact of allelic ratio and insertion site in *FLT3*-ITD-positive aml with respect to allogeneic transplantation. *Blood*. 2014; 124: 3441–9.
  22. Blau O, Berenstein R, Sindram A, Blau IW. Molecular analysis of different *FLT3*-ITD mutations in acute myeloid leukemia. *Leuk Lymphoma*. 2013; 54: 145–52.
  23. Alvarado Y, Kantarjian HM, Luthra R, Ravandi F, Borthakur G, Garcia-Manero G, et al. Treatment with *FLT3* inhibitor in patients with *FLT3*-mutated acute myeloid leukemia is associated with development of secondary *FLT3*-tyrosine kinase domain mutations. *Cancer*. 2014; 120: 2142–9.
  24. Falini B, Albiero E, Bolli N, De Marco MF, Madeo D, Martelli M, et al. Aberrant cytoplasmic expression of C-terminal-truncated *NPM* leukaemic mutant is dictated by tryptophans loss and a new NES motif. *Leukemia*. 2007; 21: 2052–4; author reply 2054; discussion 2055–6.
  25. Kihara R, Nagata Y, Kiyoi H, Kato T, Yamamoto E, Suzuki K, et al. Comprehensive analysis of genetic alterations and their prognostic impacts in adult acute myeloid leukemia patients. *Leukemia*. 2014; 28: 1586–95.
  26. Grossmann V, Schnittger S, Kohlmann A, Eder C, Roller A, Dicker F, et al. A novel hierarchical prognostic model of AML solely based on molecular mutations. *Blood*. 2012; 120: 2963–72.
  27. Schnittger S, Bacher U, Kern W, Alpermann T, Haferlach C, Haferlach T. Prognostic impact of *FLT3*-ITD load in *NPM1* mutated acute myeloid leukemia. *Leukemia*. 2011; 25: 1297–304.
  28. Pabst T, Mueller BU, Zhang P, Radomska HS, Narravula S, Schnittger S, et al. Dominant-negative mutations of *CEBPA*, encoding CCAAT/enhancer binding protein- $\alpha$  (*C/EBP $\alpha$* ), in acute myeloid leukemia. *Nat Genet*. 2001; 27: 263–70.
  29. Li HY, Deng DH, Huang Y, Ye FH, Huang LL, Xiao Q, et al. Favorable prognosis of biallelic *CEBPA* gene mutations in acute myeloid leukemia patients: a meta-analysis. *Eur J Haematol*. 2015; 94: 439–48.
  30. Pastore F, Kling D, Hoster E, Dufour A, Konstandin NP, Schneider

- S, et al. Long-term follow-up of cytogenetically normal CEBPA-mutated AML. *J Hematol Oncol.* 2014; 7: 55.
31. Wouters BJ, Lowenberg B, Erpelinck-Verschueren CA, van Putten WL, Valk PJ, Delwel R. Double CEBPA mutations, but not single CEBPA mutations, define a subgroup of acute myeloid leukemia with a distinctive gene expression profile that is uniquely associated with a favorable outcome. *Blood.* 2009; 113: 3088–91.
  32. Tawana K, Wang J, Renneville A, Bödör C, Hills R, Loveday C, et al. Disease evolution and outcomes in familial AML with germline CEBPA mutations. *Blood.* 2015; 126: 1214–23.
  33. Patel JP, Gönen M, Figueroa ME, Fernandez H, Sun Z, Racevskis J, et al. Prognostic relevance of integrated genetic profiling in acute myeloid leukemia. *N Engl J Med.* 2012; 366: 1079–89.
  34. Shen Y, Zhu YM, Fan X, Shi JY, Wang QR, Yan XJ, et al. Gene mutation patterns and their prognostic impact in a cohort of 1185 patients with acute myeloid leukemia. *Blood.* 2011; 118: 5593–603.
  35. Tian X, Xu Y, Yin J, Tian H, Chen S, Wu D, et al. TET2 gene mutation is unfavorable prognostic factor in cytogenetically normal acute myeloid leukemia patients with NPM1+ and FLT3-ItD - mutations. *Int J Hematol.* 2014; 100: 96–104.
  36. Care RS, Valk PJM, Goodeve AC, Abu-Duhier FM, Geertsma-Kleinkoort WMC, Wilson GA, et al. Incidence and prognosis of c-KIT and FLT3 mutations in core binding factor (CBF) acute myeloid leukaemias. *Br J Haematol.* 2003; 121: 775–7.
  37. Beghini A, Ripamonti CB, Cairoli R, Cazzaniga G, Colapietro P, Elice F, et al. Kit activating mutations: incidence in adult and pediatric acute myeloid leukemia, and identification of an internal tandem duplication. *Haematologica.* 2004; 89: 920–5.
  38. Mrózek K, Marcucci G, Paschka P, Bloomfield CD. Advances in molecular genetics and treatment of core-binding factor acute myeloid leukemia. *Curr Opin Oncol* 2008; 20: 711–8.
  39. Paschka P, Marcucci G, Ruppert AS, Mrózek K, Chen H, Kittles RA, et al. Adverse prognostic significance of KIT mutations in adult acute myeloid leukemia with inv(16) and t(8; 21): a cancer and leukemia group B study. *J Clin Oncol.* 2006; 24: 3904–11.
  40. Cairoli R, Beghini A, Grillo G, Nadali G, Elice F, Ripamonti CB, et al. Prognostic impact of c-KIT mutations in core binding factor leukemias: an italian retrospective study. *Blood.* 2006; 107: 3463–8.
  41. Park SH, Chi HS, Min SK, Park BG, Jang S, Park CJ. Prognostic impact of c-KIT mutations in core binding factor acute myeloid leukemia. *Leuk Res.* 2011; 35: 1376–83.
  42. Boissel N, Leroy H, Brethon B, Philippe N, de Botton S, Auvrignon A, et al. Incidence and prognostic impact of *c-Kit*, *FLT3*, and ras gene mutations in core binding factor acute myeloid leukemia (CBF-AML). *Leukemia.* 2006; 20: 965–70.
  43. Jourdan E, Boissel N, Chevret S, Delabesse E, Renneville A, Cornillet P, et al. Prospective evaluation of gene mutations and minimal residual disease in patients with core binding factor acute myeloid leukemia. *Blood.* 2013; 121: 2213–23.
  44. Cairoli R, Beghini A, Turrini M, Bertani G, Nadali G, Rodeghiero F, et al. Old and new prognostic factors in acute myeloid leukemia with deranged core-binding factor beta. *Am J Hematol.* 2013; 88: 594–600.
  45. Devillier R, Gelsi-Boyer V, Brecqueville M, Carbuccia N, Murati A, Vey N, et al. Acute myeloid leukemia with myelodysplasia-related changes are characterized by a specific molecular pattern with high frequency of ASXL1 mutations. *Am J Hematol.* 2012; 87: 659–62.
  46. Fernandez-Mercado M, Yip BH, Pellagatti A, Davies C, Larrayoz MJ, Kondo T, et al. Mutation patterns of 16 genes in primary and secondary acute myeloid leukemia (AML) with normal cytogenetics. *PLoS One.* 2012; 7: e42334.
  47. Schnittger S, Eder C, Jeromin S, Alpermann T, Fasan A, Grossmann V, et al. ASXL1 exon 12 mutations are frequent in aml with intermediate risk karyotype and are independently associated with an adverse outcome. *Leukemia.* 2013; 27: 82–91.
  48. Liang DC, Liu HC, Yang CP, Jaing TH, Hung IJ, Yeh TC, et al. Cooperating gene mutations in childhood acute myeloid leukemia with special reference on mutations of ASXL1, TET2, IDH1, IDH2, and DNMT3A. *Blood.* 2013; 121: 2988–95.
  49. El-Sharkawi D, Ali A, Evans CM, Hills RK, Burnett AK, Linch DC, et al. *ASXL1* mutations are infrequent in young patients with primary acute myeloid leukemia and their detection has a limited role in therapeutic risk stratification. *Leuk Lymphoma.* 2014; 55: 1326–31.
  50. Metzeler KH, Becker H, Maharry K, Radmacher MD, Kohlschmidt J, Mrózek K, et al. ASXL1 mutations identify a high-risk subgroup of older patients with primary cytogenetically normal AML within the ELN favorable genetic category. *Blood.* 2011; 118: 6920–9.
  51. Chou WC, Huang HH, Hou HA, Chen CY, Tang JL, Yao M, et al. Distinct clinical and biological features of de novo acute myeloid leukemia with additional sex comb-like 1 (ASXL1) mutations. *Blood.* 2010; 116: 4086–94.
  52. Ibrahim L, Mahfouz R, Elhelw L, Abdsalam EM, Soliman R. Prognostic significance of DNMT3A mutations in patients with acute myeloid leukemia. *Blood Cells Mol Dis.* 2015; 54: 84–9.
  53. Lu C, Ward PS, Kapoor GS, Rohle D, Turcan S, Abdel-Wahab O, et al. IDH mutation impairs histone demethylation and results in a block to cell differentiation. *Nature.* 2012; 483: 474–8.
  54. Ward PS, Cross JR, Lu C, Weigert O, Abel-Wahab O, Levine RL, et al. Identification of additional IDH mutations associated with oncometabolite R(-)-2-hydroxyglutarate production. *Oncogene.* 2012; 31: 2491–8.
  55. Paschka P, Schlenk RF, Gaidzik VI, Habdank M, Krönke J, Bullinger L, et al. IDH1 and IDH2 mutations are frequent genetic alterations in acute myeloid leukemia and confer adverse prognosis in cytogenetically normal acute myeloid leukemia with NPM1 mutation without FLT3 internal tandem duplication. *J Clin Oncol.* 2010; 28: 3636–43.
  56. Abbas S, Lugthart S, Kavelaars FG, Schelen A, Koenders JE, Zeilemaker A, et al. Acquired mutations in the genes encoding IDH1 and IDH2 both are recurrent aberrations in acute myeloid leukemia: prevalence and prognostic value. *Blood.* 2010; 116: 2122–6.
  57. Ho PA, Kutny MA, Alonzo TA, Gerbing RB, Joaquin J, Raimondi SC, et al. Leukemic mutations in the methylation-associated genes *DNMT3A* and *IDH2* are rare events in pediatric AML: a report from the children's oncology group. *Pediatr Blood Cancer.* 2011; 57: 204–9.



58. Green CL, Evans CM, Hills RK, Burnett AK, Linch DC, Gale RE. The prognostic significance of IDH1 mutations in younger adult patients with acute myeloid leukemia is dependent on FLT3/ITD status. *Blood*. 2010; 116: 2779–82.
59. Stein EM, Tallman MS. Emerging therapeutic drugs for AML. *Blood*. 2016; 127: 71–8.
60. Gaidzik VI, Bullinger L, Schlenk RF, Zimmermann AS, Röck J, Paschka P, et al. RUNX1 mutations in acute myeloid leukemia: results from a comprehensive genetic and clinical analysis from the AML study group. *J Clin Oncol*. 2011; 29: 1364–72.
61. Preudhomme C, Renneville A, Bourdon V, Philippe N, Roche-Lestienne C, Boissel N, et al. High frequency of RUNX1 biallelic alteration in acute myeloid leukemia secondary to familial platelet disorder. *Blood*. 2009; 113: 5583–7.
62. Aslanyan MG, Kroeze LI, Langemeijer SMC, Koorenhof-Scheele TN, Massop M, van Hoogen P, et al. Clinical and biological impact of *TET2* mutations and expression in younger adult AML patients treated within the EORTC/GIMEMA AML-12 clinical trial. *Ann Hematol*. 2014; 93: 1401–12.
63. Langemeijer SMC, Jansen JH, Hooijer J, van Hoogen P, Stevens-Linders E, Massop M, et al. *TET2* mutations in childhood leukemia. *Leukemia*. 2011; 25: 189–92.
64. Busque L, Patel JP, Figueroa ME, Vasanthakumar A, Provost S, Hamilou Z, et al. Recurrent somatic *TET2* mutations in normal elderly individuals with clonal hematopoiesis. *Nat Genet*. 2012; 44: 1179–81.
65. Gaidzik VI, Paschka P, Späth D, Haddank M, Köhne CH, Germing U, et al. *TET2* mutations in acute myeloid leukemia (AML): Results from a comprehensive genetic and clinical analysis of the AML study group. *J Clin Oncol*. 2012; 30: 1350–7.
66. Metzeler KH, Maharry K, Radmacher MD, Mrózek K, Margeson D, Becker H, et al. *TET2* mutations improve the new European LeukemiaNet risk classification of acute myeloid leukemia: a cancer and leukemia group B study. *J Clin Oncol*. 2011; 29: 1373–81.
67. Yang L, Han Y, Suarez Saiz F, Minden MD. A tumor suppressor and oncogene: the *WT1* story. *Leukemia*. 2007; 21: 868–76.
68. Lyu X, Xin Y, Mi R, Ding J, Wang X, Hu J, et al. Overexpression of Wilms tumor 1 gene as a negative prognostic indicator in acute myeloid leukemia. *PLoS One*. 2014; 9: e92470.
69. Woehlecke C, Wittig S, Arndt C, Gruhn B. Prognostic impact of *WT1* expression prior to hematopoietic stem cell transplantation in children with malignant hematological diseases. *J Cancer Res Clin Oncol*. 2015; 141: 523–9.
70. Rossi G, Carella AM, Minervini MM, Savino L, Fontana A, Pellegrini F, et al. Minimal residual disease after allogeneic stem cell transplant: A comparison among multiparametric flow cytometry, wilms tumor 1 expression and chimerism status (Complete chimerism versus Low Level Mixed Chimerism) in acute leukemia. *Leuk Lymphoma*. 2013; 54: 2660–6.
71. Yoon JH, Kim HJ, Shin SH, Yahng SA, Lee SE, Cho BS, et al. *BAALC* and *WT1* expressions from diagnosis to hematopoietic stem cell transplantation: consecutive monitoring in adult patients with core-binding-factor-positive AML. *Eur J Haematol*. 2013; 91: 112–21.
72. Schlenk RF, Dohner K, Krauter J, Fröhling S, Corbacioglu A, Bullinger L, et al. Mutations and treatment outcome in cytogenetically normal acute myeloid leukemia. *N Engl J Med*. 2008; 358: 1909–18.
73. Berman JN, Gerbing RB, Alonzo TA, Ho PA, Miller K, Hurwitz C, et al. Prevalence and clinical implications of *NRAS* mutations in childhood AML: A report from the Children's Oncology Group. *Leukemia*. 2011; 25: 1039–42.
74. Bacher U, Haferlach T, Schoch C, Kern W, Schnittger S. Implications of *NRAS* mutations in AML: a study of 2502 patients. *Blood*. 2006; 107: 3847–53.
75. Bowen DT, Frew ME, Hills R, Gale RE, Wheatley K, Groves MJ, et al. *RAS* mutation in acute myeloid leukemia is associated with distinct cytogenetic subgroups but does not influence outcome in patients younger than 60 years. *Blood*. 2005; 106: 2113–9.
76. Lund K, Adams PD, Copland M. *EZH2* in normal and malignant hematopoiesis. *Leukemia*. 2014; 28: 44–9.
77. Leeke B, Marsman J, O'Sullivan JM, Horsfield JA. Cohesin mutations in myeloid malignancies: underlying mechanisms. *Exp Hematol Oncol*. 2014; 3: 13.
78. Thol F, Bollin R, Gehlhaar M, Walter C, Dugas M, Suchanek KJ, et al. Mutations in the cohesin complex in acute myeloid leukemia: clinical and prognostic implications. *Blood*. 2014; 123: 914–20.
79. Thota S, Viny AD, Makishima H, Spitzer B, Radivoyevitch T, Przychodzen B, et al. Genetic alterations of the cohesin complex genes in myeloid malignancies. *Blood*. 2014; 124: 1790–8.
80. Cazzola M, Della Porta MG, Malcovati L. The genetic basis of myelodysplasia and its clinical relevance. *Blood*. 2013; 122: 4021–34.
81. Papaemmanuil E, Gerstung M, Malcovati L, Tauro S, Gundem G, Van Loo P, et al. Clinical and biological implications of driver mutations in myelodysplastic syndromes. *Blood*. 2013; 122: 3616–27; quiz 3699.
82. Yoshida K, Sanada M, Shiraishi Y, Nowak D, Nagata Y, Yamamoto R, et al. Frequent pathway mutations of splicing machinery in myelodysplasia. *Nature*. 2011; 478: 64–9.
83. Lindsley RC, Mar BG, Mazzola E, Grauman PV, Shareef S, Allen SL, et al. Acute myeloid leukemia ontogeny is defined by distinct somatic mutations. *Blood*. 2015; 125: 1367–76.
84. Shirai CL, Ley JN, White BS, Kim S, Tibbitts J, Shao J, et al. Mutant *U2AF1* expression alters hematopoiesis and Pre-mRNA splicing in vivo. *Cancer Cell*. 2015; 27: 631–43.
85. Kim E, Ilagan JO, Liang Y, Daubner GM, Lee SC, Ramakrishnan A, et al. *SRSF2* mutations contribute to myelodysplasia by mutant-specific effects on exon recognition. *Cancer Cell*. 2015; 27: 617–30.

**Cite this article as:** Al-Issa K, Nazha A. Molecular landscape in acute myeloid leukemia: where do we stand in 2016. *Cancer Biol Med*. 2016; 13: 474–82. doi: 10.20892/j.issn.2095-3941.2016.0061