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ABSTRACT

The RAS-RAF-MEK-ERK signaling pathway (MAPK signaling pathway) plays a significant role in multiple pathological behaviors and is most frequently dysregulated in more than 30% of human cancers. As key elements in this pathway, MEK1/2 play crucial roles in tumorigenesis and the inhibition of apoptosis, which makes their inhibition an attractive antitumor strategy. Dozens of potent non-ATP-competitive allosteric MEK1/2 inhibitors have been developed that have produced substantial improvement in clinical outcomes over the past decade. However, the efficacy of these agents is limited, and response rates are variable in a wide range of tumors that harbor RAS and RAF mutations due to the development of resistance, which is derived mainly from the persistence of MAPK signaling and increased activation of the mutual feedback networks. Both intrinsic and acquired resistance to MEK inhibitors necessitates the synergistic targeting of both pathways to restore the therapeutic effects of a single agent. In this review, the significant role of the MAPK pathway in carcinogenesis and its therapeutic potential are comprehensively examined with a focus on MEK inhibitors. Then, the activation of feedback networks accompanying MEK inhibition is briefly reviewed. Combination strategies that involve the simultaneous inhibition of the original and resistance pathways are highlighted and elaborately described on the basis of the latest research progress. Finally, the obstacles to the development of MEK-related combination systems are discussed in order to lay the groundwork for their clinical application as frontline treatments for individual patients with MAPK-hyperactivated malignancies.
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Introduction

The RAS-RAF-MEK-ERK pathway is the best characterized of the classical mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) pathways¹. It is an evolutionarily conserved transduction pathway that transmits signals from cell surface receptors and involves the sequential phosphorylation and activation of three protein kinases in a phosphoprotein relay system, and it regulates multiple key physiological processes, including cellular proliferation and survival programs². Physiological MAPK activation is tightly controlled by feedback loops at multiple levels and is essential for regulating normal cell growth and division, gene expression, cell cycle progression, and homeostasis³. However, MAPK signaling is aberrantly activated in more than one-third of human tumors that primarily express constitutively mutant RAS and BRAF⁴. The probability of MEK and ERK mutation is very low; however, these enzymes play prominent roles in tumorigenesis and malignant transformation⁵. These findings have enabled the development of small molecule inhibitors that target components involved in MAPK signaling and that have become important cancer therapeutic agents. In particular, the unique structures, narrow substrate specificity, and minimal mutation rates of MEK1/2 render them ideal targets for therapeutic development⁶. In recent years, dozens of MEK inhibitors (MEKi) have achieved considerable clinical outcomes, and four have even been approved by the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for the first-line treatment of cancers induced by RAS/RAF dysfunction⁷. Although substantial improvements and promising clinical activity have been observed, response rates (RR) vary between individuals, and the drug efficacy is discounted because of the development of resistance mainly as a result of the emergence of mutual feedback networks⁸.

MEKi resistance has emerged as a critical issue; patients may not benefit from MEKi as a result of primary resistance
or may encounter acquired resistance, during which an initial response is followed by tumor progression and decreased survival. Both intrinsic and acquired resistance to MEKi are frequently associated with the persistence of ERK signaling, feedback loops, crosstalk with other pathways (mainly the PI3K-AKT-mTOR pathway), or a shift to a mesenchymal phenotype. In addition, acquired resistance to MEKi involves an ERK-independent mechanism, in which MEK inhibition causes acute inactivation of ERK that results in c-Myc degradation and, in turn, the expression and activation of several tyrosine kinase receptors (TKRs). Identification of feedback networks and predictive biomarkers is essential to reveal the mechanisms involved in resistance to MEKi and reductions in efficiency; more importantly, this implies that innovative combination approaches with other targeted therapeutics may be needed to overcome these challenges.

Based on this reversal strategy, incremental synergistic treatments have been used in academic or clinical settings and achieved considerable results in improving MEKi resistance and expanding drug efficacy. For instance, a combination of MEKi (MEK162) with cytotoxic chemotherapy (paclitaxel, PTX) was used for second-line treatment of relapsing ovarian tumors and increased antitumor activity without any additional toxicity. Dual targeting of MEK and PI3K (phosphoinositide 3-kinase) was combined with radiotherapy, and this enhanced the response to radiation of K-RAS-mutant non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC). When combined with nanoparticle (NP)-based photothermal therapy (PTT) agents, the antitumor activity of MEKi (PD-0325901) was greatly improved in neurofibromatosis type 1 (NF1)-associated malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumors (MPNSTs). Due to the increasing emphasis on immunotherapy, clinical trials of a combination of MEKi with immunotherapy agents for advanced-stage melanoma were systematically summarized in a recent study. Based on the prevalence of mutual feedback networks in MEKi resistance, combinations of targeted therapeutics that included MEKi+BRAF inhibitor, ERK inhibitor (ERKi), PI3K inhibitor, AKT (protein kinase B, PKB) inhibitor, HER (human epidermal growth factor receptor) inhibitor, and PARP [poly (adenosine diphosphate-ribose) polymerase] inhibitor, were used to overcome MEKi resistance and enhance antitumor response. Based on various cooperative schemes, the use of combinations of MEKi and targeted agents to inhibit feedback networks was the focus of this paper.

This review focuses on the important role of the MAPK pathway in tumorigenesis. First, the biological functions of MAPK signaling and MEK kinases are outlined, and the developmental status of MEKi is emphasized. Then, the feedback networks involved in MEKi resistance are summarized. Next, the synergistic strategies are enumerated and described in detail based on the most recent research progress. Finally, the obstacles faced by academics and clinicians during the development of MEKi and MEK-related combinations are considered, and future possibilities for the exploitation of efficient MEKi inhibition systems are explored. In brief, the development of synergistic systems targeting MEK and reciprocal feedback networks will lay a scientific foundation for overcoming resistance to MEKi and improving the curative effects of treatment on malignancies.

The RAS-RAF-MEK-ERK pathway and MEK inhibitors

RAS-RAF-MEK-ERK signaling pathway

The MAPK signaling cascade, which plays a critical role in multiple physiological processes, is one of the best-studied signal transduction pathways, and it is the most frequently dysregulated signaling cascade in human cancer. MAPK signaling is initiated by the dimerization, activation, and transphosphorylation of receptor tyrosine kinases (RTKs), such as epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR), HER kinase, mesenchymal to epithelial transition factor (MET), and fibroblast-growth factor receptor, on the cell surface via the binding of growth factors, cytokines, and extracellular mitogens. The activated growth factor receptors interact with a series of adaptor proteins, such as growth factor receptor-bound protein 2 (GRB2), that then recruit guanine nucleotide exchange factors (GEFs) to the plasma membrane. These GEFs can activate membrane-bound RAS small guanosine triphosphate (GTP)ases (H-RAS, N-RAS, and K-RAS), which are intrinsically stagnant and function as a guanosine diphosphate (GDP)/GTP-regulated switch by catalyzing the conversion of inactive GDP-bound RAS to active GTP-bound RAS. Activated RAS subsequently recruits RAF serine/threonine kinases to the plasma membrane and activates them via a complex series of events involving phosphorylation, dimerization, and protein-protein interactions. As MAP kinase kinase kinases (MAPKKKs), RAF family members (A-RAF, B-RAF, and C-RAF) utilize RAS proteins as common upstream activators and principally activate the kinase effectors MAP kinase kinases (MAPKKs), MEK1 and MEK2 through phosphorylation, MEK1 and MEK2, which are tyrosine and serine/threonine dual-specificity kinases, subsequently catalyze the activation via phosphorylation of the effector.
MAP kinases ERK1 and ERK2, which are the only known physiological substrates of MEK1.\(^2\) Unlike RAF and MEK, which are very substrate-specific, activated ERK1/2 phosphorylate a panoply of nuclear and cytoplasmic targets (> 600) that includes transcription factors, kinases, phosphatases, and cytoskeletal proteins, all of which are involved in diverse cellular responses such as cell proliferation, survival, differentiation, motility, metabolism, programmed cell death, embryogenesis, and angiogenesis.\(^{28}\)

In physiological conditions, MAPK signaling is evolutionarily conserved and tightly controlled by feedback loops at multiple levels, and it programatically transmits signals from cell surface receptors to promote cell proliferation/survival and maintain homeostasis.\(^{29}\) However, this pathway involves one of the most vigorous signaling cascades that dominate carcinogenesis. Indeed, the components of this signaling cascade are frequently mutant in human cancer; more than 30% of human tumors express gain-of-function mutations in RAS-encoding genes,\(^{30}\) and the development of approximately 8% of all tumors, including 50% of melanomas, 45% of papillary thyroid cancers, and 36% of low-grade ovarian cancers, is triggered by a genetic mutation in one of the RAF family members.\(^4\) The low incidence of mutations in MEK and ERK-encoding genes cannot obscure the important roles of these genes in malignant transformation and tumorigenesis.\(^5\) Following the aberrant activation of the MAPK pathway, an autocrine/paracrine loop is established that supplies proliferative signals and stimulates cell growth.\(^{31}\) The expression of cell cycle regulators is altered and leads to premature cell cycle arrest and halts progression.\(^{32}\) The pro-apoptotic proteins are repressed, and the anti-apoptotic proteins are activated. Senescence evasion is promoted by the upregulation of telomerase; epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition (EMT) is upregulated and cell invasiveness and motility are accelerated.\(^{34}\) The interactions between cancerous and stromal cells are disturbed, which affects angiogenesis and hides cancer cells from the immune system.\(^{35}\) These findings prompted the development of small-molecule inhibitors targeting the kinases of the MAPK pathway that could serve as promising cancer therapeutics.

Among the members of the MAPK family, RAS is insensitive to currently available medications. Several RAF inhibitors (RAFi) have been developed and have shown considerable activity in clinical trials.\(^{36}\) Limited progress and benefits have been obtained from the development and evaluation of ERK1/2 selective inhibitors, partly because ERK is the only known downstream target of MEK, and ERK regulates a number of cellular events.\(^{37}\) The RAS-RAF-MEK-ERK pathway is represented diagrammatically in Figure 1, containing the mutation sites and frequencies of pivotal effectors in human tumors, and the corresponding targeted inhibitors. In comparison, the narrow substrate specificity and the unique structural characteristics of MEK1/2 make it a potential bottleneck and an ideal target for therapeutic development. A clear understanding of MEK kinase is of great importance for the development of inhibitors.

### MEK kinase

MEK1/2 are 45-50 kDa proteins that share 37%–44% amino acid identity in the kinase domain and 86% identity in the catalytic domain. Unlike other homologous proteins, MEK1/2 contain strong leucine-rich nuclear export signals (NESs) in their N-termini.\(^{50}\) They are closely related dual-specificity protein kinases; when phosphorylated by activated RAF at the Ser218 and Ser222 residues, activated MEK1/2 in turn phosphorylate the threonine (Thr202) and tyrosine (Tyr204) residues.\(^{51}\) Although they are considered to be functionally equivalent, MEK1/2 are regulated differentially and non-interchangeably during a variety of cellular events, including epidermal hyperplasia and tumorigenesis. As MEK lies downstream of RAS/RAF and specifically activates ERK, it has become an attractive candidate for targeted therapy of cancers with RAS and RAF mutations. Several MEKi have been developed, and some have shown remarkable potency and selectivity during clinical evaluation.\(^7\)

### The current status of MEK inhibitors in cancer therapy

Unlike other kinase inhibitors, MEKi ensures high specificity by binding to a hydrophobic pocket adjacent to but not overlapping with the adenosine triphosphate (ATP)-binding site. This binding locks the conformation of MEK and prevents its interaction with ERK activation loops, which produces the high efficacy of MEKi that is directly proportional to the degree of activation of the MAPK pathway in RAS, RAF or EGFR-mutant tumors. Therefore, since the first MEK inhibitor, PD098059, was reported in 1995, a series of selective, non-ATP-competitive and allosteric MEKi have been developed and achieved superior results in basic and clinical research, which are connected by a timeline in Figure 2.

CI-1040, which was developed by Lorusso et al. in 2000, was the first small-molecule MEKi to enter clinical trials. It exhibited encouraging tumor growth inhibition and
antitumor activity in preclinical and phase I studies. Even though CL-1040 was well tolerated, the clinical trials of CI-1040 were halted because of insufficient antitumor activity and clinical efficacy during phase II evaluation that was mainly attributed to the poor pharmacokinetic properties, such as low bioavailability and rapid metabolism. Since then, numerous clinical studies on various MEKi have been conducted to evaluate their therapeutic potential. To date, four MEKi have achieved clinical success and have been approved by FDA for the treatment of patients with RAS or RAF-mutant cancer. Beyond that, a dozen MEKi are in the process of clinical evaluation, and approximately ten compounds are in the preclinical phase. The current clinical experience of MEKi is described in this section depending on their clinical stage and briefly summarized in Table 1.

An orally bioavailable allosteric MEKi, trametinib, was first approved by the FDA for the treatment of metastatic melanoma with the BRAF V600E/K mutation in May 2013. It is a potent MEK1/2 inhibitor [half-maximal inhibitory concentration (IC50) of 0.7/0.9 nmol/L] that preferentially
binds to unphosphorylated MEK1/2 and prevents RAF-dependent MEK activation. During clinical application, improved efficiency and progression-free survival (PFS) resulted in increased overall survival compared to that of

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MEK inhibitor</th>
<th>Target</th>
<th>Kinase activity (IC50)</th>
<th>Clinical phase</th>
<th>Tumors</th>
<th>Obstacles</th>
<th>Ref</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CI-1040</td>
<td>MEK1/2</td>
<td>2.3 nmol/L</td>
<td>Phase II</td>
<td>Breast cancer, CRC, NSCLC, pancreatic cancer</td>
<td>Poor pharmacokinetic properties such as low bioavailability and rapid metabolism</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trametinib</td>
<td>MEK1/2</td>
<td>0.7/0.9 nmol/L</td>
<td>FDA approved</td>
<td>Melanoma, CRC, NSCLC, biliary cancer, papillary thyroid carcinoma</td>
<td>Rash, diarrhea, peripheral edema</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cobimetinib</td>
<td>MEK1</td>
<td>0.9 nmol/L</td>
<td>FDA approved</td>
<td>Melanoma, leukemia, CRC</td>
<td>Rash, pyrexia, chorioretinopathy, gastrointestinal disorders</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Binimetinib</td>
<td>MEK1/2</td>
<td>12 nmol/L</td>
<td>FDA approved</td>
<td>Melanoma</td>
<td>Rash, nausea, vomiting, diarrhea, peripheral edema, fatigue</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PD-0325901</td>
<td>MEK1/2</td>
<td>0.33 nmol/L</td>
<td>FDA approved</td>
<td>NF1, NSCLC, CRC, melanoma, breast cancer</td>
<td>Musculoskeletal, neurological, ocular toxicity</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selumetinib</td>
<td>MEK1</td>
<td>14 nmol/L</td>
<td>Phase III</td>
<td>NSCLC, melanoma, CRC, HCC, glioma, NF1, PA</td>
<td>Rash, diarrhea, nausea, fatigue, blurred vision</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refametinib</td>
<td>MEK1/2</td>
<td>19/47 nmol/L</td>
<td>Phase II</td>
<td>HCC, CRC, melanoma, pancreatic cancer</td>
<td>Dermatological, gastrointestinal and ocular toxicity, low tolerance</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pimasertib</td>
<td>MEK1/2</td>
<td>5-11 nmol/L</td>
<td>Phase II</td>
<td>Ovarian cancer, melanoma, breast cancer, NSCLC, HCC, CRC, pancreatic cancer</td>
<td>Diarrhea, fatigue, nausea, ocular toxicity</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RO4987655</td>
<td>MEK1/2</td>
<td>5.2 nmol/L</td>
<td>Phase I</td>
<td>Melanoma, NSCLC, CRC</td>
<td>Gastrointestinal and eye disorders, skin and CNS-related toxicity</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AZD8330</td>
<td>MEK1/2</td>
<td>7 nmol/L</td>
<td>Phase I</td>
<td>Melanoma</td>
<td>Mental status changes, acneiform dermatitis, fatigue, diarrhea, vomiting</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAK-733</td>
<td>MEK1/2</td>
<td>3.2 nmol/L</td>
<td>Phase I</td>
<td>Melanoma, CRC, NSCLC, pancreatic cancer, breast cancer</td>
<td>Rash, diarrhea, increased blood CPK</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WX-554</td>
<td>MEK1/2</td>
<td>4.7/11 nmol/L</td>
<td>Phase I</td>
<td>Cervical cancer, ampullary cancer, CRC</td>
<td>Poor tolerability, drug toxicity</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROS126766</td>
<td>MEK1/2</td>
<td>160 nmol/L</td>
<td>Phase I</td>
<td>Melanoma, CRC</td>
<td>Rash, diarrhea, acneiform dermatitis, elevated CPK, blurred vision</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GDC-0623</td>
<td>MEK1/2</td>
<td>0.13 nmol/L</td>
<td>Phase I</td>
<td>Melanoma, NSCLC, pancreatic cancer</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HL-085</td>
<td>MEK1</td>
<td>1.9-10 nmol/L</td>
<td>Phase I</td>
<td>Melanoma, CRC</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RO5068760</td>
<td>MEK1</td>
<td>25±12 nmol/L</td>
<td>Preclinical</td>
<td>Melanoma, CRC, lymphoma, pancreatic cancer</td>
<td>No apparent toxicity in tumor cells and xenografts.</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RO4927350</td>
<td>MEK1/2</td>
<td>23 nmol/L</td>
<td>Preclinical</td>
<td>A broad spectrum of RAS or BRAF-mutant cancers</td>
<td>No apparent toxicity in tumor cells and xenografts.</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PD184161</td>
<td>MEK</td>
<td>10-100 nmol/L</td>
<td>Preclinical</td>
<td>HCC</td>
<td>No apparent toxicity in tumor cells and xenografts.</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PD098059</td>
<td>MEK1</td>
<td>2 mol/L</td>
<td>Preclinical</td>
<td>NSCLC, bronchoepithelial inflammation</td>
<td>No apparent toxicity in tumor cells and xenografts.</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Continued
standard-of-care chemotherapy\textsuperscript{56}. The second approved MEKi was cobimetinib (GDC-0973), which is a potent and highly selective MEKi with an IC50 of 0.9 nmol/L against MEK1\textsuperscript{58}. It was awarded orphan drug status by the FDA in 2014 for BRAF V600-mutant melanoma and was then approved for the treatment of unresectable or metastatic melanoma with a BRAF V600E/K mutation in combination with vemurafenib in November 2015\textsuperscript{59}. In June 2018, an oral small molecule MEKi, binimetinib, with an IC50 of 12 nmol/L against MEK1/2 was approved as a new treatment option for unresectable or metastatic melanoma with a BRAF V600E/K mutation when synergistically administered with an oral small molecule BRAF inhibitor, encorafenib. This important decision was based on satisfactory phase III clinical results, particularly those showing that median progression-free survival (mPFS) was doubled by double-agent treatment compared to single-agent treatment\textsuperscript{60}. In November 2018, another MEKi, PD-0325901, was granted orphan drug status by the FDA for the treatment of NF1. PD-0325901 is a selective small molecule MEKi with an IC50 of 0.33 nmol/L against MEK1/2 that has been proven to have great potential for treating NF1\textsuperscript{61}.

In the course of clinical trials, more than a dozen highly effective MEKis were screened for activity testing and performance evaluation. CI-1040 was the foremost MEKi (IC50 = 2.3 nmol/L) that was investigated in the clinical stage. The initial encouraging Phase I results allowed it to progress into clinical phase II for the treatment of breast cancer, lung cancer, colorectal cancer (CRC), and pancreatic cancer. Nevertheless, CI-1040 was eliminated due to poor bioavailability and insufficient efficacy, which was attributed to poor exposure and rapid clearance in phase II clinical trials\textsuperscript{62}. Selumetinib (AZD6244) is a potent, highly selective, non-ATP-competitive MEK1 inhibitor with an IC50 of 14 nmol/L. It was included in a phase III clinical study of the treatment of KRAS-mutant NSCLC and BRAF-mutant melanoma via single or combination therapy because of its robust preclinical and phase I/II antitumor activity. Rash, diarrhea, nausea, fatigue, and blurred vision were the most common toxic side effects\textsuperscript{63}. Refametinib is a highly selective allosteric MEK1/2 inhibitor that does not exhibit obvious brain or neural tissue accumulation. Its IC50 was 19 and 47 nmol/L against MEK1 and MEK2, respectively, and its potency and favorable pharmacokinetic profile urged its inclusion in phase I, I/II or phase II clinical trials involving single or combination therapy of RAS-mutant hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC), CRC, and metastatic pancreatic cancer. However, treatment-related dermatological, gastrointestinal and ocular toxicity were the main side effects of refametinib, and it resulted in especially low tolerance and serious side effects due to drug toxicity that necessitated dosing adjustment in combination cases\textsuperscript{64}. Pimasertib is an orally bioavailable non-ATP-competitive MEK1/2 inhibitor (IC50 of 5-11 nmol/L) with potent antitumor activity in cell lines, xenograft models, and phase I/II studies that involve advanced or metastatic solid tumors with constitutive activation of the MAPK pathway, including ovarian cancer, melanoma, breast cancer, NSCLC, HCC, CRC, and pancreatic cancer, when used for treated alone or in combination with other targeted therapeutics\textsuperscript{65}.

In addition, several other MEKis have been included in phase I clinical trials. RO4987655 is a selective and orally bioavailable MEK1/2 inhibitor with an IC50 of 5.2 nmol/L. A dose escalation phase I study was conducted on patients with advanced melanoma, KRAS-mutant NSCLC and CRC. Definite clinical effects were observed in combination with toxic effects such as skin-related toxicity, gastrointestinal disorders, and eye disorders\textsuperscript{66}. AZD8330 is a selective, orally active, and non-ATP-competitive MEK1/2 inhibitor with an IC50 of 7 nmol/L. It was in the midst of a clinical phase I trial
and was employed as a single agent in patients with advanced solid tumors, such as melanoma. The most commonly experienced toxic events included dose-limiting mental status changes, acneiform dermatitis, fatigue, diarrhea, and vomiting. TAK-733 is a potent, selective, and non-ATP-competitive small-molecule allosteric MEK1/2 inhibitor with an IC50 of 3.2 nmol/L. It showed broad preclinical antitumor activity in mouse xenograft models of human melanoma, CRC, NSCLC, pancreatic cancer and breast cancer. A dose-escalation phase I trial was carried out in patients with advanced solid tumors, which revealed the maximum tolerance and a generally manageable toxicity profile that included rash, diarrhea, and increased blood creatine phosphokinase (CPK). WX-554 is a selective, orally available, non-competitive, and allosteric MEK1/2 inhibitor with an IC50 of 4.7/11 nmol/L. Preliminary phase I pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic results revealed that WX-554 was well tolerated in patients with advanced cervical cancer and ampullary cancer. RO5126766 is a novel, potent first-in-class dual MEK/RAF inhibitor that binds to MEK1/2 to form a stable RAF-MEK-RO5126766 complex (IC50 of 160 nmol/L) to arrest the cell cycle and inhibit tumor growth. An open-label, dose-escalation phase I study was undertaken in patients with BRAF or NRAS mutant melanoma to clarify the tolerability and toxic effects, such as rash, diarrhea, and elevated CPK, of RO5126766. Two other MEKi, GDC-0623 and HL-085, with IC50 values of 0.13 and 1.9-10 nmol/L against MEK1/2 and MEK1, respectively, are currently being evaluated in phase I clinical trials involving patients with advanced and metastatic solid tumors.

As potential candidates that could be used for clinical applications, several novel MEKi have been reported to be in preclinical development. For example, RO5068760 could potently inhibit MEK1 and had an IC50 of 0.025 ± 0.012 µmol/L. It also showed significant efficacy in tumors with the BRAF V600E mutation. RO4927350 is a potent and highly selective non-ATP-competitive MEK1/2 inhibitor with an IC50 of 23 nmol/L. It exhibited significant antitumor efficacy in a wide variety of tumors by inhibiting ERK and MEK phosphorylation simultaneously, prevented a feedback-induced increase in MEK phosphorylation, and reduced drug resistance. PD184161 is an orally active MEKi with an IC50 of 10-100 nmol/L, and it exerts time- and concentration-dependent antitumor effects on HCC in vitro and in vivo. PD098059 (IC50 of 2 µmol/L) highly inhibited MEK in a selective manner and prevented ERK phosphorylation. U0126 inhibited MEK1/2 (IC50 of 70/60 nmol/L), caused profound depletion of ATP, and suppressed tumor growth in cell culture and a mouse model. SL327 is a homolog of U0126 with an IC50 of 0.18/0.22 µmol/L against MEK1/2, and it showed significant combined activity in a doxorubicin (DOX)-resistant anaplastic thyroid carcinoma (ATC) tumor model. CInQ-03 is a novel and specific MEK1/2 inhibitor with an IC50 of 5/10 µmol/L; it strongly recognized the binding pocket and inhibited ERK phosphorylation in vitro and in vivo. In addition, G-573 (estimated IC50 of 406 nmol/L during the inhibition of ERK phosphorylation), and PD318088 (a non-ATP-competitive MEKi) have also been undergoing systematic preclinical evaluation.

Despite promising effects, most investigational MEKi encountered bottlenecks during development due to depressed antitumor activity. Several factors might contribute to the decreased clinical activity of MEKi. First, the correlation between the degree of MEK1/2 suppression and its necessity and adequacy for antitumor responses and toxicity production is unclear. Second, alternative substrates of RAS/RAF besides MEK potentially compensate for the effect of MEK inhibition and eliminate the antitumor activity of MEKi. Third, the absence of predictive biomarkers results in the inefficiency of MEKi in unselected patients with tumors that are supposed to be sensitive to MEK inhibition. Last but not least, the loss of autoregulatory negative feedback to RAF from ERK may result in the activation of non-MAPK effectors of RAF and, more extensively, the presence of crosstalk among the complex signaling feedback networks that contribute to tumorigenesis and that coexist with MEK suppression. Both mechanisms will protect cancer cells from apoptosis induced by MEK inhibition and eventually lead to MEKi resistance and weakened drug activity. Therefore, it is necessary to improve the efficacy of MEKi by clarifying the resistance-related feedback networks and proposing targeted reversal strategies.

MEK inhibition-related feedback and crosstalk networks

Although the MAPK pathway appears linear, several feedback loops within the pathway and cross-talk between the RAS-RAF-MEK-ERK pathway and other signaling cascades, such as PI3K-AKT-mTOR, p38 and c-Jun N-terminal kinase JNK, nuclear factor (NF)-κB, wingless/integrated (Wnt)-β-catenin, Hedgehog, Notch, transforming growth factor β (TGFβ)-SMAD, are important factors that lead to resistance to MEKi. Combined targeting of reactivated sites using anticancer inhibitors with MEKi will be a reasonable strategy to reverse drug resistance based on the premise of clarifying the resistance mechanism.

Resistance to MEKi is mainly divided into primary...
resistance and acquired resistance, both of which are influenced by the host microenvironment and disease state, including genetic typing, disease stage, and treatment history. In the case of primary resistance, patients do not benefit from MEKi, which makes patient screening an important issue. However, during acquired resistance, the initial response is positive but as the disease progresses an appreciable but limited survival advantage is present. Mechanistically, biochemical feedback loops and crosstalk with other pathways are responsible for MEKi resistance. ERK inhibition suppresses its phosphorylation and leads to the active repression of RAF and MEK. MEK inhibition weakens the negative feedback circuit, resulting in the excitation of the RAS-RAF-MEK-ERK pathway and the accumulation of activated MEK and ERK. Reduced expression of the ERK phosphatase DUSP6 may also lead to sustained activation of ERK despite MEK inhibition. Moreover, ERK-dependent resistance is also modulated by growth factors or TKR deregulation. The hepatocyte growth factor (HGF)/c-MET pathway is important in primary resistance to MEKi. The expression of EMT is also a predictive factor for MEKi resistance.

In addition to the mechanisms that underlie primary resistance, acquired resistance is also regulated by other ERK-dependent or independent mechanisms. For example, a point mutation of MAP2K1 interferes with MEKi binding and leads to resistance toward MEKi. In BRAF-mutant melanoma and CRC, MEK activity was restored by high levels of COT/Tpl2 and resulted in acquired resistance to MEKi. These ERK-dependent processes suggest that the activation of the RAS pathway contributes greatly to MEKi resistance. During the typical ERK-independent mode, MEK inhibition causes prompt ERK inactivation and rapid c-Myc degradation and promotes the expression and activation of several TKRs, mainly platelet-derived growth factor receptor β (PDGFRβ), insulin-like growth factor 1 receptor (IGF1R), and human epidermal receptor 3 (HER3). The revelation of the MEKi mechanism has led to two enlightening conclusions. First, we searched for predictive biomarkers that could predict response to MEKi in cells, tissues, and clinical models. In addition, BRAF-, NRAS-, and KRAS-activating mutations, ERK, PI3K, AKT, PTEN, and DUSP6, have been explored as predictive biomarkers of MEKi sensitivity. Furthermore, fluctuations in the expression of genes involved in the RAS-ERK pathway were superior to pathway mutations and preferable in predicting dependence on signaling cascades and responses to MEKi in preclinical and clinical specimens. Second, the cotargeting of MEK and resistance pathways by combining MEKi with partner TKR inhibitors and other targeted therapeutics involved in MEK inhibition-related feedback or crosstalk networks, EMT, interactions with the extracellular matrix, and apoptosis has generally been accepted. Moreover, ERKi have also been used for preclinical and clinical evaluations of tumors with ERK-dependent acquired resistance to MEKi.

**Targeting of mutual feedback and crosstalk networks to enhance the antitumor efficiency of MEK inhibitors**

Coexisting oncogenic pathways attenuate the activity of MEKi and cause resistance, but they also suggest an important strategy for reversing resistance and improving efficacy that involves the cosuppression of MEK and feedback networks. In recent years, an increasing number of combinations of MEKi with other oncogenic inhibitors that have nonoverlapping toxicity profiles have gained intense research interest and potentiated the effectiveness of MEK inhibition. In this section, the representative and latest research progress is summarized in Table 2 and analyzed based on the combination order of MEKi with other targeted inhibitors involved in the RAS-RAF-MEK-ERK, PI3K-AKT-mTOR, p38, JNK, NF-κB, Wnt-β-catenin, Hedgehog, Notch, and TGFβ-SMAD pathways.

**Combination of MEK inhibitor with RAS-RAF-MEK-ERK pathway inhibitors**

In some cases involving RAS- or RAF-mutant tumors, MEKi activate the RAS-RAF-MEK-ERK pathway by relieving ERK-dependent negative feedback, which leads to the attenuation of MEK inhibition. During MEKi resistance, inhibitors of components of the RAS-RAF-MEK-ERK pathway are the most pertinent therapeutic targets and are combined with MEKi to enhance their therapeutic effect. In this pathway, direct inhibitors of NRAS are relatively rare, so the possibility of combined blocking of this oncogenic signaling is realized by inhibiting two signaling components downstream of RAS. The most widely developed synergetic system utilizes MEKi+RAFi, and MEKi+ERKi has also represented a great advance in terms of improving the treatment quality of monotherapy.

The amplification of the upstream oncogenic driver of ERK signaling has been identified as a mechanism involved in MEKi resistance that results in the increased abundance of the oncogenic driver and the restoration ERK activity to ensure continuous cell growth. For example, in patients with
Table 2 Co-inhibition of MEK and mutual feedback/crosstalk networks for reversing MEKi resistance and improving therapeutic efficacy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strategy</th>
<th>Combination</th>
<th>Antitumor efficacy</th>
<th>Tumor model</th>
<th>Ref</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| MEK+BRAF         | Binimetinib+ | Binimetinib: moderate TGI  
                      encorafenib: minimal TGI  
                      Combination: >80% of TGI, 10-fold enhancement in apoptosis, 7-12 fold increase in the expression of pro-apoptotic proteins  
                      NRAS or BRAF-mutant melanoma models: monolayer, spheroids, organotypic, and patient-derived tissue slice |                                                                                                        | 97  |
|                  | Cobimetinib+ | mPFS: 9.9 months/combination, 6.2 months/control  
                      ORR: 68%/combination, 45%/control  
                      CRR: 10%/combination, 4%/control  
                      9-month survival rate: 81%/combination, 73%/control  
                      Decreased the morbidity of secondary cutaneous cancers.  
                      No apparent AEs of grade 3 or higher  
                      Advanced or metastatic BRAF V600E-mutant melanoma |                                                                                                        | 98  |
| MEK+BRAF+HER2    | Selumetinib+ | Lapatinib markedly sensitized cancer cells to dose-dependent inhibition, improved the iodine and glucose-handling gene expression, radioiodine uptake, and prevented the MAPK rebound induced by the BRAF/MEK inhibitor | BRAF V600E-mutant papillary thyroid cancer                                                               | 21  |
|                  | selumitinib+ | The combination induced distinguishable tumor inhibition, greater MAPK suppression and curative activity than alone                                                                                           | TNBC models                                                                                           | 99  |
|                  | dabrafenib+  |                                                                ----------------------------------------------------------------                                                                                |                                                                                                        |     |
|                  | lapatinib    |                                                                                                                                                    |                                                                                                        |     |
| MEK+EGFR         | Selumetinib/ | RR: 80%/combination, 50%/alone  
                      cetuximab+  
                      osimertinib  
                      mPFS: 28 weeks Inhibited proliferation, migration, and invasion of resistant cells                                                                 | EGFR-mutant NSCLC xenografts                                                                         | 100 |
| MEK+BRAF+immunotherapy | BRAF/MEK inhibitor+PD-1  
                      inhibitor | Two drugs are positively correlated at low doses, while antagonistic at some high doses                                                                                                                           | Animals, early and advanced clinical trials                                                            | 101 |
| MEK+BRAF+immunotherapy | Trametinib+  
                      dabrafenib+  
                      antigen-  
                      specific ACT | The triple combination showed complete tumor regression, increased T cell infiltration into tumors, improved in vivo cytotoxicity, increased MHC expression, and global immune gene up-regulation | BRAF V600E-mutant melanoma                                                                            | 102 |
| MEK+ERK          | PD0325901/  
                      G-573+  
                      ERK inhibitor | MEK resistant KRAS mutant cells retain sensitivity to ERK inhibition.  
                      Downstream blockade of ERK overcome multiple resistance mechanisms of MEKi                           | KRAS mutant breast cancer and CRC                                                                      | 87  |
|                  | GSK1120212+  
                      SCH772984 | The combination showed significant tumor regression potency (98% regression), and relieved the resistance to MEKI, BRAFI, and MEK/BRAF inhibitors                                                                 | RAS or BRAF-mutant CRC, melanoma, and pancreatic cancer                                               | 18  |
| MEK+CDK1         | Cobimetinib+  
                      GDC-0994 | In PDAC model, combination reduced tumor volume in 5/8 of animals, repressed p90RSK, and improved PFS (18.5 vs. 7 days in vehicle).  
                      In NSCLC model, combination resulted in overall tumor burden decrease (10/10), strong suppression of p90RSK, and improved PFS (102 vs. 58 days in vehicle) | KRAS or BRAF-mutant NSCLC, melanoma, and PDAC                                                          | 104 |
|                  | R0-3306/     
                      dinaciclib | The combination greatly inhibited cell proliferation, suppressed tumor growth, and promoted apoptosis by cleavage of PARP and caspase-3                                                                              |                                                                                                        | 105 |

Continued
### Strategy Combination Antitumor efficacy Tumor model Ref

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strategy</th>
<th>Combination</th>
<th>Antitumor efficacy</th>
<th>Tumor model</th>
<th>Ref</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MEK+PI3K+ mTOR</td>
<td>Selumetinib+ ZSTK474+ BEZ235</td>
<td>The combination synergistically inhibited the phosphorylation of ERK, AKT, S6 and the tumor growth, with statistically significant TGI of (21.8±6.6)%, (19.9±8.3)%, (37.9±6.9)%, (75.8±3.1)%, and (59.0±7.4)% corresponding to ZSTK474, BEZ235, selumetinib, BEZ235+selumetinib, and ZSTK474+selumetinib at day 14 after administration</td>
<td>BRAF-mutant metastatic melanoma</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEK+PI3K+ PDGFR</td>
<td>Selumetinib+ buparlisib+ pazopanib</td>
<td>The combination decreased MAPK and PI3K signaling, changed the kinome in MAPK pathway, altered the resistance drivers, and managed TNBC brain metastasis</td>
<td>TNBC brain metastases model</td>
<td>112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEK+PI3K+ HDAC</td>
<td>GSK120212+ BEZ235+TSA</td>
<td>The combination inhibited cell proliferation by &gt;99%, no observable lung metastatic foci, compared with the average of 8.1±1.7 foci per mouse in BEZ/GSK combination and 10±2 foci in vehicle</td>
<td>Highly aggressive and metastatic PDAC mouse model</td>
<td>113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEK+AKT</td>
<td>CH5126766/ trametinib+ statins</td>
<td>The combination enhanced the cell sensitivity, reversed the apoptotic resistance to MEKi by up-regulating the TRAIL, and improved the antitumor efficacy of MEKi</td>
<td>Human breast cancer MDA-MB-231 apoptotic resistant model</td>
<td>115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEK+AKT+ mTOR</td>
<td>AZD6244+ MK-2206+ AZD8055</td>
<td>The combination synergistically enhanced the effect of MEKi on cell proliferation and survival with combination index below 0.3</td>
<td>Advanced CCA model</td>
<td>116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEK+HSP90</td>
<td>Trametinib+ AUY922</td>
<td>The combination suppressed MAPK and AKT pathways, sensitized NSCLC cells to MEKi, and increased apoptosis through cleaved PARP and caspase-3/7 pathway with sub-therapeutic doses</td>
<td>NSCLC model</td>
<td>117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEK+Wnt</td>
<td>Selumetinib+ CsA/TNP-470</td>
<td>The combination recovered the cell responsiveness to selumetinib, showed synergistic anti-proliferative effect in CRC cells, effectively regressed tumor growth and promoted apoptosis of the PDTX models of CRC</td>
<td>Clinically relevant PDTX models of CRC</td>
<td>118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEK+β-catenin</td>
<td>Trametinib+ RNAi trigger of β-catenin</td>
<td>The combination synergistically inhibited tumor growth with &gt;90% of TGI vs. 60% of single dosage, overcame the resistance to trametinib, and improved the mice survival in all selected tumor models</td>
<td>Xenografts of CRC, melanoma, and HCC</td>
<td>119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEK+HGF/cMET</td>
<td>Trametinib+ LY2875358+ LY2801653</td>
<td>The combination reversed the resistance to trametinib, suppressed AKT activation, and promoted the pro-apoptotic PARP cleavage</td>
<td>Primary hepatic stellate cells, metastatic uveal melanoma explants</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEK+RIP1</td>
<td>Selumetinib+ Nec-1</td>
<td>The combination overcame the resistance to selumetinib caused by the CYLD-relied activation of NF-κB pathway, and enhanced efficacy in cancer treatment</td>
<td>Melanoma cells</td>
<td>121</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ACT, adoptive cell transfer.

BRAF mutant tumors, the addition of RAFi to MEKi is a desirable approach to delay or overcome MEKi resistance. In an exploratory study, to reveal the difference in the efficacy of MEKi and RAFi used to treat BRAF-mutant melanoma and CRC, Whittaker et al. performed a genome-scale pooled short-hairpin RNA (shRNA) enhancer screen and identified multiple genes involved in the RTK/MAPK signaling axis. This resulted in the identification of CRAF as a key resistance mediator that could be overcome by the combination of pan-RAFi (rather than selective RAFi) with
MEKi, which also strongly synergized in RAS-activating melanoma and CRC. Compared with single-agent treatment, dual MEKi and pan-RAFi treatment greatly induced apoptosis, enhanced efficacy, overcame intrinsic and acquired resistance and was shown to be a novel therapeutic strategy for BRAF- and KRAS-mutant tumors. Then, specific efficacy was observed by selecting fourteen NRAS-mutant human melanoma cell lines and treating sensitive and resistant cells with pan-RAFi (Amgen Compd A), MEKi (trametinib) or a combination of the two. It was shown that combination treatment induced apoptosis in sensitive cells due to the p-MEK-associated synergistic effect. In addition, cell proliferation was blocked by the inhibition of the MAPK pathway and cyclin D1 expression. In contrast to resistant cells, cell proliferation was blocked in sensitive cells by the combined inhibition of the MAPK pathway and cyclin D3, and the cells also showed higher p-GSK3β levels and less apoptotic perturbation. It was concluded that MEKi+pan-RAFi was an effective option for stemming proliferation and promoting apoptosis in NRAS-mutant melanomas.

In previously reported studies, the combination of RAFi with MEKi has achieved remarkable results in overcoming RAFi resistance. In addition, the combination system has also obtained significant progress in reversing MEKi resistance. Typically, in NRAS-mutant melanoma models, including monolayer, spheroid, organotypic, and patient-derived tissue slice models, the MEKi binimetinib was combined with the BRAFi encorafenib, which increased pERK and facilitated MEKi-induced tumor growth inhibition and apoptosis by inducing an endoplasmic reticulum (ER) stress response via the PERK pathway. After administration in NRAS-mutant melanoma cells (SKMel147, WM1366, MelJuso, and WM1346 cells) or patient-derived NRAS-mutant melanoma cells, binimetinib caused moderate growth inhibition, and encorafenib had a minimal effect on tumor growth, but their combination synergistically suppressed tumor growth by >80%. In BRAF-mutant melanoma cells (SKMel19, Mel1617, and 451Lu cells), both drugs alone inhibited tumor growth by 75%, which was enhanced by their combination. Furthermore, the proportion of apoptotic cells was almost 40% after treatment with the inhibitor combination, which was confirmed by an assay involving the nucleosomal enrichment of cytosolic fractions during apoptosis. Binimetinib treatment resulted in moderate apoptosis (4-fold enrichment), which was obviously enhanced by combination treatment (10-fold enrichment); however, relatively low rates of apoptosis and growth inhibition in normal skin cells (<40%) were observed. During ER stress, PERK was activated, and phosphorylated eIF2α upregulated ER stress-related factors and the proapoptotic protein PUMA. The phosphorylation of eIF2α was increased 1.5-2-fold in NRAS-mutant melanoma cells when treated with encorafenib alone or in combination, and it was increased 7-12-fold in BRAF-mutant melanoma cells. MEKi stimulated the expression of the proapoptotic protein BIM and activated the mitochondrial apoptotic pathway, which was mediated by caspase 9 and caspase 3, and this was enhanced by combination with encorafenib. These results underscored the therapeutic potential of MEKi+BRAFi combination treatment for patients with NRAS- and/or BRAF-mutant melanoma.

In clinical studies, the combined inhibition of BRAF and MEK has also achieved considerable outcomes in patients with ERK pathway-deregulated tumors by preventing or delaying resistance to a single inhibitor. In a randomized phase III clinical trial, 495 patients with untreated, locally advanced or metastatic BRAF V600 mutant melanoma were used to evaluate the combination of vemurafenib (BRAFi) and cobimetinib (MEKi), during which vemurafenib+placebo was used as a control. The mPFS of the combination group was 9.9 months, while that of the control group was 6.2 months. The overall response rate (ORR) in the combination group was 68% [complete response rate (CRR) of 10%] and was 45% in the control group (complete response rate of 4%). Interim analyses of overall survival indicated that the 9-month survival rate of patients in the combination group and the control group were 81% and 73%, respectively. Moreover, vemurafenib+cobimetinib decreased the morbidity of secondary cutaneous cancers and resulted in no apparent adverse events (AEs) of grade 3 or higher. These results revealed the success of MEKi+BRAFi in improving PFS in patients with BRAF V600-mutant metastatic melanoma and provided a reference for the design and evaluation of other synergistic systems.

To overcome BRAF/MEK inhibitor resistance caused by MEK inhibition-induced HER2/HER3 activation and MAPK pathway rebound, a HER inhibitor (HERi), lapatinib, was added to the BRAF/MEK system (dabrafenib/selumetinib) to sensitize BRAF V600E-mutant thyroid cancer cells for redifferentiation therapy. The addition of lapatinib largely prevented MAPK rebound and improved the effect of dabrafenib/selumetinib on the expression of iodine (I)- and glucose-handling genes, the cell membrane localization of sodium (Na)/I symporter, radioiodine uptake, toxicity, and the efficiency of redifferentiation therapy in BRAF V600E-positive papillary thyroid cancer cells. This prominent potentiation would be verified in vivo and via clinical evaluation. Other combination systems, such as...
EGFR/HER2 (laptatinib), RAF (sorafenib), and MEK (U0126, selumitinib), were designed to anchor the components of the EGFR/HER2-RAS-RAF-MEK-ERK pathway. After screening, selumitinib+sorafenib cotargeting synergistically and clearly inhibited tumor growth in triple negative breast cancer (TNBC) models and represented a promising combination therapy for this aggressive tumor type.99 A similar system was designed that involved dual vertical EGFR blockade with osimertinib plus MEKi selumitinib/cetuximab as a novel therapeutic option and effectively ablated tumors in EGFR-mutant NSCLC.100

In some clinical practices, the combination of MEKi with BRAFi has enhanced therapeutic efficiency, but the response is short-lived. Conversely, tumor treatment with an immune checkpoint inhibitor, such as anti-PD-1, has a lower response rate but a more persistent response period that extends for several years. A combination of these treatments will contribute to an overall improved survival time. Inspired by this idea, Lai X et al.101 developed a mathematical model that included cancer cells, immune cells, interleukins, TGF-β, PD-1, PD-L1, BRAF/MEK inhibitors (at concentration γB) and PD-1 inhibitors (at concentration γA) to determine the relationship between BRAF/MEK inhibitors and PD-1 inhibitors in tumor therapy. This model mainly explored the influence of the combined concentration on drug efficacy by using partial differential equations. The result indicated that the two drugs were positively correlated at low doses and that tumor growth decreased if either γB or γA increased. However, the two drugs are antagonistic at high doses, and the results indicated the presence of concentration ranges wherein tumor volume increased along with the concentration of either drug. It was necessary to clarify the antagonistic ranges and avoid them in animals and early and advanced clinical trials101. Utilizing this strategy, a triple combination system was developed by integrating the MEKi trametinib, the BRAFi dabrafenib and immunotherapy. The combination resulted in complete tumor regression, increased T cell infiltration into tumors, increased major histocompatibility complex (MHC) expression, global upregulation of immune-related genes, and superior antitumor effects in a mouse model of syngeneic BRAF V600E-driven melanoma (SM1).102

Because the pathway from RAF to ERK is linear, the intrinsic resistance to RAFi or MEKi is mainly due to the relief of ERK-involved negative feedback and pathway reactivation, and long-term acquired resistance to RAFi or MEKi is controlled by multiple mechanisms that recover ERK activity. ERKi has been validated as an important inhibitor to combine with MEKi or RAFi to forestall acquired resistance103. To verify this strategy, Hatzivassiliou G et al.87 cultured three cell lines (breast cancer cell line MDA-MB-231 and colon cancer cell lines LoVo and HCT-116) in increasing concentrations of the MEKi PD0325901 until they grew normally in 10 mmol/L (MDA-MB-231) and 5 mmol/L of PD0325901 (LoVo and HCT-116). The MEKi-resistant cell lines were obtained and were consistently found to have acquired mutations in the allosteric binding pocket of MEK. These resistant cells maintained ERK activation and retained their dependence on the RAS-RAF-MEK-ERK axis for survival and proliferation, and they were sensitive to ERK inhibition. Furthermore, the mechanism involved in MEKi resistance and MAPK pathway activation was acting on or upstream of MEK (K-RAS, B-RAF, or MEK), as ERK is a direct downstream substrate of MEK. Importantly, synergistic downstream inhibition of ERK and MEK by small molecule inhibitors would overcome multiple resistance mechanisms, inhibit the emergence of resistance, overcome acquired resistance to MEKi, and finally provide a rationale for cotargeting multiple components of the MAPK signaling pathway to maximize therapeutic efficiency for patients with K-RAS-mutant tumors87.

To combat the instantaneous response and resistance of MEKi associated with MAPK pathway reactivation, Morris EJ et al.18 investigated a novel, selective and ATP-competitive ERKi, SCH772984, that inhibited ERK1 and ERK2 with IC50 values of 4 and 1 nmol/L, respectively. It exhibited IC50 values less than 500 nmol/L in 88% of experimental BRAF-mutant cells and 49% of RAS-mutant tumor cells, whereas less than 20% sensitivity was observed in RAS and BRAF wild-type cells. It induced significant tumor regression in BRAF-mutant LOX melanoma xenografts (98% regression) and in a KRAS-mutant pancreatic MiaPaCa model (36% regression) at a 50 mg/kg dosage administered twice daily. Furthermore, SCH772984 was efficacious in BRAF-mutant melanoma or KRAS-mutant CRC cells that were resistant to the BRAFi PLX4032 or the MEKi GSK1120212, and was also effective in cells resistant to combined BRAF/MEK inhibitors. These results suggested that resistance to BRAF or MEK inhibitor caused by the reactivation of MAPK signaling was ERK-dependent and, importantly, that the introduction of ERKi into the process of MEK or RAF inhibition would be beneficial for the clinical treatment of RAFi or MEKi refractory malignant tumors with ERK reactivation18.

To overcome the transient and insufficient inhibition of the MAPK pathway by MEKi due to feedback reactivation, Merchant M et al.104 screened a series of MEKi and ERKi and then combined them and evaluated tumor inhibition in RAS- or BRAF-mutant models. Their combination was synergistic
in RAS-mutant tumors but additive in BRAF-mutant models in which the RAF complex was dissociated from RAS and feedback was inhibited. Typically, the combination of cobimetinib (MEKi) and GDC-0994 (ERKi) significantly suppressed MAPK pathway output and tumor growth more effectively than single agents administered at their maximum tolerated doses and exhibited improved anti-tumor activity in multiple KRAS-mutant xenografts. Administration of cobimetinib twice weekly combined with daily treatment with GDC-0994 resulted in significant combined activity in the KRAS-mutant A549 model accompanied by a decrease in transcripts encoding multiple MAPK target genes. Phospho-p90RSK was enduringly suppressed, and cyclin D1 and Ki-67 were reduced, while cleaved-caspase 3 was increased after 24 h of treatment. Similar results were observed in BRAF- and NRAS-mutant melanoma. In a KRAS-mutant, genetically engineered mouse (GEM) model of pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC), combination treatment reduced tumor volume in the majority (5/8) of animals, clearly repressed downstream p-p90RSK, and significantly improved tumor volume in the majority (5/8) of animals, clearly repressed downstream p-p90RSK, and significantly improved PFS (18.5 days compared with 7 days in the vehicle). In addition, in the NSCLC GEM model, the combination resulted in an overall decrease in tumor burden (10/10), strong suppression of p90RSK, and improved PFS relative to the control (102 vs. 58 days). These findings underscored the importance of combined MEK and ERK inhibition in effectively abating MAPK-dependent cancers\textsuperscript{104}.

In addition, cyclin-dependent kinase 1 (CDK1) was proven to be a novel mediator of apoptosis resistance in BRAF V600E CRC and a potential target that could be used to enhance the efficacy of MEK inhibition. The combination of R0-3306 (CDK1 inhibitor) or dinaciclib (CDK1, 2, 5, and 9 inhibitor) and cobimetinib (MEKi) suppressed clonogenic survival to a greater extent than monotherapy and enhanced apoptosis \textit{via} cleavage of PARP and caspase-3, and it also increased pH2Ax and Annexin V labeling in RKO and HT29 cells. In BRAF-mutant RKO CRC murine xenografts, the combinatorial use of dinaciclib plus cobimetinib inhibited tumor growth to a significantly greater extent than a single-agent regimen. Apoptosis was also promoted by the combined increase in cleaved caspase-8, -3, PARP and pH2Ax proteins in tumor tissues, which was confirmed by the cleavage of caspase-8 and -3 and the inhibition of the cell proliferation marker Ki-67 in mice. It was concluded that dual targeting with a CDK inhibitor and MEKi represented an effective therapeutic strategy in BRAF V600E CRC based on mechanism involved in the cooperative induction of apoptosis\textsuperscript{105}.

Importantly, feedback reactivation of the MAPK pathway was a pivotal factor that led to the attenuated activity of MEKi, but increasing evidence has suggested that this inefficiency could be revived by cosuppressing the reactivated nodes while inhibiting MEK. In addition to the representative studies listed above, many other related studies have been undertaken to target MEK and other signaling components involved in the reciprocal feedback cascade that have achieved synergistically enhanced activity in basic and clinical stage studies\textsuperscript{106-108}.

### Combination of MEK inhibitor with PI3K-AKT-mTOR pathway inhibitors

The clinical activity of a single MEKi is decreased rapidly over time, and acquired resistance seems to inevitably occur, except that caused by the feedback-induced reactivation of the MAPK pathway. The upregulation of the PI3K pathway has also been implicated in resistance to MEKIs, and both pathways are known to interact with each other at several nodes. Therefore, dual targeting of MEK and PI3K pathway effectors (PI3K, AKT, mTOR, and IGF-1R) represents a potential strategy for overcoming MEKi resistance\textsuperscript{109}. Several studies are underway that aim to evaluate the clinical efficacy of these combination systems, and only the most recent representative examples are listed here.

To weaken MEKi resistance mediated by the activation of the PI3K pathway, Sweetlove et al.\textsuperscript{110} investigated combination therapy involving pan-PI3K signaling plus MEKi in BRAF-mutant melanoma. The efficiency and sensitivity of selumetinib (MEKi) and vemurafenib (BRAFi) in combination with ZSTK474 (pan-PI3K inhibitor), BEZ235 (pan-PI3K/mTOR inhibitor), an individual PI3K isoform inhibitor, and KU-0063794 (mTORC1/2 inhibitor) were tested in a panel of nine low-passage human BRAF-mutant metastatic melanoma cells. ZSTK474 and BEZ235 enhanced the anti-proliferative activity of selumetinib and vemurafenib in the majority of the selected cells with low expression of phosphorylated AKT (pAKT) by synergistically or additively increasing drug potency or the magnitude of cell growth inhibition. The combination synergistically inhibited phosphorylation of ERK, AKT, and S6 and tumor growth in NZM20 xenografts, with statistically significant tumor growth inhibition (TGI) values of 21.8 ± 6.6%, 19.9 ± 8.3%, 37.9 ± 6.9%, 75.8 ± 3.1%, and 59.0 ± 7.4% observed for ZSTK474, BEZ235, selumetinib, BEZ235+selumetinib, and ZSTK474+selumetinib at day 14 after administration. These findings suggested that MEKi resistance was partly mediated by PI3K pathway activation and could be reversed \textit{via} the combined inhibition of molecular nodes in both cascades\textsuperscript{110}.
In a nongermine, genetically engineered mouse model of glioblastoma (GBM), the combination of MEKi with a PI3K inhibitor directly improved target inhibition, RTK effector activation, and anticancer activity in mutant murine astrocytes. In GBM patient-derived xenografts (PDX), MEK/PI3K cotreatment disabled alternate effector activation and had synergistic effects in subcutaneous murine allografts. For the treatment of TNBC brain metastases, mice bearing intracranial TNBC tumors were treated with MEK, PI3K, or PDGFR inhibitors alone or in combination. It was revealed that dual treatment with selumetinib (MEKi) and buparlisib (PI3K inhibitor) or pazopanib (PDGFR inhibitor) was synergistic and improved survival in intracranial TNBC cells. After cotreatment, MAPK and PI3K signaling was decreased in sensitive models but not in resistant models, and extensive kinase changes occurred, especially in MAPK pathway components. This provided a rationale for the combined inhibition of MEK and PI3K or MEK and PDGFR to alter the potential targetable resistance drivers and to manage TNBC brain metastasis. Furthermore, in a highly aggressive and metastatic PDAC mouse model, cotargeting of MEK and PI3K blocked both pathways and caused growth inhibition more effectively than when either was targeted alone but failed to induce extensive cell death; thus, the use of other treatment options was indicated. The addition of histone deacetylase (HDAC) inhibitor greatly improved therapeutic outcomes and inhibited cell proliferation by > 99% via massive induction of apoptosis compared with those of the MEK+PI3K system, which decreased cell proliferation by less than 90% via mild cell death. In a nude mouse model of PDAC lung metastasis, the combination of GSK1120212 (MEKi), BEZ235 (PI3K inhibitor) and TSA (HDAC inhibitor) did not cause observable lung metastatic foci during treatment. In contrast, the combination of BEZ/GSK produced an average of 8.1 ± 1.7 foci per mouse, and the vehicle group had an average of 10 ± 2 foci. Mechanistically, coinhibition of MEK/PI3K/HDAC prevented drug resistance and improved drug tolerance in cancer cells based on a dormancy mechanism.

In a previous report, the mechanism underlying compensatory AKT activation and drug resistance following MEK inhibition was revealed to involve the suppression of negative ERK-mediated feedback that resulted in phosphorylation of HER2 at Thr701. This conclusion indicated the need for the combined inhibition of AKT to abolish the desensitization of cancer cells to MEKi. For example, Iizuka-Ohashi M et al. utilized anti-lipidemic drug statins to block the mevalonate pathway and repress AKT activation following MEK inhibition. During combined treatment with statins, the sensitivity of human breast cancer MDA-MB-231 cells to MEKi CH5126766 or trametinib was enhanced, and the tumor necrosis factor (TNF)-related apoptosis-inducing ligand (TRAIL) was upregulated to promote the reversal of apoptotic resistance to MEKi; this was dependent upon the inhibition of geranylerananyl. This study highlighted statins as promising therapeutic targets that could be used to sensitize apoptosis-resistant cancer cells and improve the efficacy of MEKi.

For resistant advanced cholangiocarcinoma (CCA), for which there is still no systematic treatment, the failure of therapy due to the emergence of resistance to MEKi on account of AKT pathway activation could be partially rescued by properly combining inhibitors of these respective pathways. In a comparative study, the anticancer efficiencies of MK-2206 (AKT inhibitor), AZD6244 (MEKi), and AZD8055 (mTOR inhibitor) were evaluated via single or combination administration in three CCA cell lines. This demonstrated that cotargeting of the PI3K/AKT/mTOR pathway synergistically enhanced the effects of MEKi on cell proliferation and survival, as indicated by a combination index below 0.3. Importantly, in the AZD6244+AZD8055 system, combinatorial treatment with MK-2206 was essential because AKT activation was closely associated with mTOR inhibition. These results underscored the importance of the vertical targeting of AKT and mTOR and the simultaneous suppression of the respective nodes involved in the MEK and AKT pathways for efficiently coping with CCA. In another study, to abolish MEKi resistance via the AKT-activated bypass pathway, Park et al. combined the heat shock protein (HSP90) inhibitor AUY922 and trametinib to suppress the PI3K-AKT-mTOR and RAF-MEK-ERK pathways, which sensitized NSCLC cells to MEKi and increased apoptosis via cleaved PARP and the caspase-3/7 pathway at subtherapeutic doses. This represented an effective treatment regimen that could be used to manage KRAS-mutant NSCLC with MEKi resistance and to reduce the clinical toxicity of the AKT+MEK combination at therapeutic doses.

In summary, the reactivation of the PI3K-AKT-mTOR pathway and its complex crosstalk with the RAS-RAF-MEK-ERK signaling pathway have been proven to be important factors contributing to MEKi resistance and, simultaneously, they provide an effective coinhibition method to reverse drug resistance and improve efficacy. Considerable achievements have been achieved in both theoretical and clinical studies involving MEK-involved cancer treatment. However, inefficiency inevitably occurs in proportional MAPK pathway-activated tumors due to feedback and the
reactivation of other signaling pathways, which should be considered when selecting strategies to reverse MEKi resistance.

**Combination of MEK inhibitor with other signaling inhibitors**

Upregulation of the noncanonical Wnt-β-catenin pathway has been associated with MEKi resistance in tumors harboring RAS or BRAF mutations. Thus, dual inhibition of MEK and Wnt pathway effectors presents a potential strategy that could be used to overcome resistance to MEKi. Spreafico A et al.\(^{118}\) identified the Wnt/calcium pathway as a potential mediator of resistance to the MEKi selumetinib and constructed a shRNA that could be used to silence the genes of relevant Wnt receptors and ligands to recover responsiveness to selumetinib in CRC cells. Furthermore, selumetinib was combined with immunosuppressant cyclosporin A and the Wnt inhibitor TNP-470 and showed synergistic antiproliferative effects in CRC cells by modulating the activity of nuclear factor of activated T-cells (NFAT), and it was also effective in inducing tumor regression in clinically relevant patient-derived tumor explant (PDTX) models of CRC, as indicated by the increase in apoptotic markers.\(^{118}\) In another elaborately designed study, tumor-selective nanoparticles containing a β-catenin-targeting RNA interference (RNAi) triggers were combined with the MEKi trametinib to synergistically inhibit tumor growth in xenograft models of CRC, melanoma, and HCC. The combination of MEKi/RNAi yielded synergistic efficacy and substantial tumor growth inhibition in different genetic subtypes of MAPK-activated CRC, which was reflected in > 90% TGI (60% after a single dosage). Remarkably, β-catenin-targeted RNAi treatment dramatically abolished intrinsic and acquired resistance to trametinib and improved survival in CRC liver metastasis and melanoma mouse models.\(^{119}\)

HGF signaling has been reported to be a mechanism that contributes to MEKi resistance that is mediated by the expression of Bcl-2-interacting mediator of cell death-extra large (Bim-EL) and Bcl-2 modifying factor (Bmf). Hence, cotargeting of HGF/cMET signaling with LY2873558 (anti-cMET antibody) and LY2801653 (dual cMET/recepteur d’origine nantais (RON) inhibitor) significantly reversed resistance to trametinib in primary hepatic stellate cells. The combination of LY2801653 and trametinib also suppressed AKT activation but promoted proapoptotic PARP cleavage in metastatic uveal melanoma explants. These discoveries confirmed that HGF/cMET signaling may serve as a target and therapeutic option that can be used to abolish MEKi resistance in metastatic uveal melanoma.\(^{120}\)

Receptor-interacting protein kinase 1 (RIP1) was identified as a determinant of MEKi resistance via activation of the NF-κB pathway in melanoma cells, which mainly relied on the Snail1-mediated cylindromatosis (CYLD) process. This allowed the combination of targeting RIP1 with MEKi to be a potential strategy that could be used to overcome resistance and enhance efficacy in cancer treatment.\(^{121}\)

In addition, some novel and important targets, including but not limited to tankyrase (TNKS)\(^{122}\), PDGFR (Hedgehog)\(^{123}\), zinc finger protein GLI\(^{124}\), Notch/γ-secretase\(^{125}\), PARP\(^{22}\), Rho-associated kinase (ROCK)\(^{126}\), and the TGFβ-SMAD pathway\(^{127}\) have also been utilized by combination systems to suppress MEKi resistance and enhance anticancer efficacy.

**Concluding remarks and future perspectives**

The RAS-RAF-MEK-ERK signaling pathway is aberrantly activated in more than 30% of human cancers, which frequently harbor mutations of the KRAS, NRAS and BRAF genes. The crucial location of MEK1/2 in this pathway, their unique molecular structural characteristics and their irreplaceable roles in tumorigenesis, cell proliferation and apoptosis inhibition make MEK inhibition an attractive therapeutic option for MAPK-related cancers. An abundance of highly selective and potent small molecule MEKi have been developed that have shown clinical efficacy in RAS- or RAF-mutant tumors. Furthermore, a variety of innovative combinations have been used to overcome intrinsic or acquired MEKi resistance and have achieved considerable synergetic effects that have enhanced single-agent activity.

Despite unprecedented and enthusiastic research progress, the patient responses to medication have not been entirely satisfactory, and some conclusions remain theoretical. More accurate and comprehensive understanding of resistance mechanisms needs to be achieved to design rational drug synergies. Additionally, rational and sufficient in vivo studies are essential to confirm the therapeutic benefits of combinations in comparison to single drugs. Therefore, great efforts should be made to address the following challenges to promote the clinical success of MEKi and its combinations.

(1) Expansion of predictive biomarkers. New biomarker development is a prerequisite for understanding the reduced effectiveness of MEKi and identifying responding patients. Furthermore, the mechanism underlying resistance to MEKi...
should be precisely defined with emerging techniques aimed at the extension and evaluation of combination approaches with other therapeutics.

(2) Optimization of the drug administration schedule. Recent preclinical studies have shown that intermittent and pulsatile dosing is beneficial to alleviate toxicity, delay resistance occurrence, and prolong patient sensitivity and responsiveness to MEKi in patient-derived xenographs of RAS- or RAF-mutant melanoma. Therefore, an in-depth clinical study is required to determine the preferred dosing strategy (continuous or intermittent).

(3) Relevance of preclinical trial models. Most of the existing preclinical achievements were made in subcutaneous xenograft tumors, which do not accurately reflect tumor characteristics and progression. Future research should directly focus on actual preinvasive or metastatic tumors to reflect real medical concerns.

(4) Reduction of drug toxicity and development of new MEKi. Designing novel small molecular MEKi and illuminating their biochemical complexities and action modes has led to substantive breakthroughs in cancer treatment. Combining these new MEKi with other therapies will help to minimize toxicity and increase efficacy and is being developed as a therapeutic option that could be used to ablate MAPK pathway-based cancers in the near future.
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